no inferiority. The Baptists of the maritime Provinces have voluntuily assumed the work of sustaining it, and they are determined that it shall be second to none in the same field.

But is not Dalhousie a denominational college also? If we abide by the cool assumptions and assertions of the Dalhousie Gazette, we unst conclude not. Says that journal, - "The Presbyterians have no college of their own, but send their sone to the Provincial University.' "In Nova Scotia, besides Dalhousie, the Frovincial University, there are five denominational colleges." Again, the system of higher education pursued in these five colleges "is unfair to the Provincial University." We can well afford to let the Gazette indulge in these assumptions. Their grandleseness is equalled only by their impertinence. But if the Presbyterians have no college, who looks after Dalhousie! What denomination has taken possession of it, and founded chairs therein, and assumed the responsibility of its entire control, if the Presbyterians have not done so? Who does not know that if the Presh mans withdraw from the support an management of Dalhousie it would not stand as a college six months ?

A comparison of the charter of Dalhousie with that of Acadia reveals nothing to show that the former is not as much denominational as the latter, or the latter as much provincial as the former,-for these charters are indeed very similar. The framers of the Dalhousic Charter, who seem to have copied word for word! in many points that of Acadia, have chosen to omit the proviso touching the character of the teachers. The Acadia Charter permits the appointment of "competent persons of any religious persuasion whatover" as professors, "provided such persons be of moral and religious character." But this proviso is not found in the Dalhousie Charter. Accordingly it permits, and the Gazette seems to glory in it, the appointment of persons as professors who may have no moral and religious character at all. A leading Presbyterian minister asks in the public press, "what difference does it make what a professor's theological opinions are so long as he is highly qualified to teach the branch or branches entrusted to him?" In fact

there is nothing in the Dalhousic Charier to present a rationalist or a universalist from being made a Protessor, and nothing to authorize his dismissal if he were such. It remains to see whether Presbyterians will continue to relish this kind of liberty.

On the other point to which we have alluded, namely, that of efficiency, we need not any much. If the Buptists continue the policy which they have adopted of securing the best teachers and plenty of them, they need not fear that Acadia will suffer in respect of efficiency from a comparison with Dalhousie, even though the friends of the latter continue to affirm concerning it what is not true, namely, that it is a Provincial University. Indeed a glance at the standard of matriculation as set up in the Dalhousie Calendar, joined to the fact that the regular course of study after matriculation extends over only four terms of six months each, rather favors the conclusion of inefficiency. And this conclusion the Gazette confirms. It says, "our present standard of matriculation is too low." As a consequence the first year "is packed with general students who ought to be learning the clements of Latin Grammar and Geometry. As these general students are not prepared to go on with the regular ones, the latter are obliged to wait for them; or, as the Gazette puts it, to be "lowered enough to deprive them of much of the benefit that should decur from the teaching of the year,"-a rather anomalous and discreditable state of things in an institution putting on such airs as does Dalhousie. Now this state of things would not be tolerated an hour in Acadia. institution the standard of matriculation is considerably higher than it is at Dalhousic, and it is rigidly adhered to. Then the regular course in Acadia extends over four terms of nine months each, allowing thus twelve months of solid study more than is put in at Dalhousic. light of these facts the people can judge which institution is the more efficient-We can hardly help pitying the supreme ridiculousness of a college calling itself by pretentious names, when really these names are only a shelter of inward weakness;-resorting [to intrigue, endeavouring to manipulate the Government with a view to the overthrow of other institutions, when it is apparent to all that succollege name greatly advance before it careach oven the position of equality will them. Poor Dalhousie!!

The sentiments of the students Dalhousie, as voiced in their organ-th Gazette-show clearly that they are not little vexed at the rapidly increasing prosperity of the "denominational c leges;" and that they lositate not flaunt their sheet before the public gaze pregnant with scurrilous epithets an calumnious assertions respecting the various institutions. The first efforve cence of their spleen showed itself Gazette No. 4, of the current collegiat year. No man can read the third par graph of the leading editorial of that issu and fail to recognize the cynical spirit the prompted its production. In its closic sentences, even our members of parliance are arraigned before Dalliousie's judgmen seat, and, in view of their granting gover mental support to other colleges as we as to Dalhousie, are pronounced guilty the grave charge of inconsistency.

The gratuitous affirmations and aspasive insinuations of that article were ful examined and answered in the editori of Atheneum No. 4, which, in turelicited a replication from our opponent (Gazette No. 8,) that is doubtless considered by them a poser. With much pleasure we now meet their averment and give some information for which the are seeking.

The denunciation of our editorial, about referred to, as "coarse and violent" quite minecessary and really out of place inasmuch as said editorial contain merely a plain statement of facts, fr from any abusive or shaderous sentence It is, however, wonderful that persons eager for the proof of things, so desire of consistency, as the Gazette edite profess to be, should not make sor attempt at least, to extract from the article a few examples of the "coarse a violent" style, and hold them up to publi contempt. A wise regard for the econ mization of energy has probably preclud them from the effort.

We are next informed that "t ATHENEUM confounds the students Dalhousie with the Board of Governor Not so. We have a clear apprehensithat they are two distinct classes of individuals. By reference to editorial we find that but one allusion made to the Dalhousie Governors. T Gazette, in reference to the refusal Acadia and Mount Allison to confer wi Dalhousie for the purpose of establishing a central Provincial University, had say of course any other reply to the invittion was not to be hoped for." Now we