

wrote, declining to proceed on account of want of jurisdiction.

On July 28th and August 3rd, an appeal was heard by the Privy Council, which decided that the Archbishop had jurisdiction, and that the case should be dealt with, by him, according to law.

The "New York Independent," a competent and impartial judge, referring editorially to this trial, says that "The Bishop of Lincoln persistently practices rites, in the forms of 'Masses,' etc., which have been condemned as Roman Catholic and illegal by the highest Court of Appeal, the Privy Council."

The "Record," one of the most influential Church papers in England, says of the Bishop of Lincoln:—"What Evangelical churchmen, and all moderate men of all schools, do feel very strongly indeed, is the wrong which the Bishop of Lincoln has done to the Church of England by laying himself open to this prosecution. He knew when he accepted an English bishopric, with its great position and income, and its inevitable connection with the State, what would be required of him * * * * He took all that the Church could give him of profit and influence, and, in return, has involved himself, the Archbishop of Canterbury, and in a degree every Churchman, in a turmoil, of which no one can see the issue * * His reckless, and we must add, most disloyal indulgence in all sorts of ritual practices, seems simply childish, although very mischievous, and disentitles him to the sympathy, which is asked, on his behalf, from Churchmen."