nly work number" for nomination is 1,505. With the Gove n lage number of Democrats seeking their ning as party's nomination (no fewer than 11 were e state an officially in the contest before the first tion with precinct caucus vote was cast), it is enstate's 2 tirely possible that the convention deled to hin gates will be so broadly committed as to nt require offer to single candidate a chance of quick convention victory.

o state. 🏻

ther state

stay wit

Florida n ballot: w

s than i

h as Wes

party rule

hing oth

leases from

of cours

th 28) and

w Yerk

smaller d

de Islan

. **del**e gate

case of a

ocra: s all

 ${f R}$ ho le ${f k}$

iblic ins

hav bee

ule in 16

ther bee

or Demo

ne pallo

to the ob

ats, owin

ate: seeli

t tle cor

aı abso

es. In the

number@

e ''magi

ins.

t is then that the delegates who have equire the run and been chosen as uncommitted deled to a pagetes – those under the control of men like t candidat New York Governor Carey and those from convertid state that do not require adherence to a legates a cand date beyond the first ballot — become lidate univery important indeed. Then the process y amount that s known as "brokering" begins, the mana zers of the various candidates with a chance "wheeling and dealing" in an effort cified num to wen support to their side. It is then. le, require tob, hat the possibility of a deadlocked ort throughoution arises — a convention so rigidly te receive divid d between two or more declared tal corver candolates that the delegates begin to look elsew tere for a compromise candidate who. for watever reasons, is sufficiently appealing, or inoffensive, to all sides to bring irst balle them together. Hubert Humphrey, the r just of party's nominee in 1968, is counting on this lappening in 1976. While he has declined to compete in any primaries (he says they are debilitating), he has let it be khow: that he will be available for the dmir ation in case of a deadlock. George cGevern, the party's 1972 nominee, has said n uch the same.

(nce the two party's have their ch the manninges, and the Presidential nominees has the have hosen their Vice-Presidential run-Demo rat mg-1 ates, the procedure for selecting a US. President becomes simpler. But it may rot, even at this stage, be a straightfdrwail choice between two Presidential and tv > Vice-Presidential candidates. U.S. wal ows individuals who wish to run as iddep∈ ident candidates, or as third-party andicates, to have their names placed on the Pasidential ballot provided they can optain a certain number of signatures on Petitions of support in each of the 50 states. This year, Eugene McCarthy, the rian w o came close to winning the Demoatic omination in 1968, is determined to do j st that. And there remains the very real pc sibility that George Wallace, hav- \mathbb{I}_{g}^{g} be n denied the nomination of the $\Pi_{
m emoc}$ atic nomination once again this year, will do what he has done once before creat the American Party and run as its eside itial nominee.

Bus even if the 1976 Presidential ection does boil down to a choice be-Feen Republican and Democratic candidates, the American public will not quite have a direct say in who will be the next man to enter the White House. For, at this point, that incredible apparatus known as the electoral college comes into play.

Electoral college

On November 2 this year, when Americans go to the polls, they will not, technically speaking, be voting for a President or a Vice-President but for a slate of Republican or Democratic electors that is numerically equal to their state's representation in Congress. The chosen slates, be they Republican or Democratic, are then brought together to form the electoral college. And, long after the average voter has had his say (on the first Monday after the second Wednesday in December of each leap year, to be exact) these slates, consisting of 538 individuals, decide who the President and Vice-President will be.

In practice, of course, the choice of the electoral college should match the choice of the people on election day (precisely defined as the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of each leap year). But it need not happen that way. For, while the Democratic slates that are chosen in their state invariably vote for the Democratic Presidential nominees. and the chosen Republican slates invariably vote for the Republican nominees, they are not constitutionally bound to do so. Also, the slates are chosen in each state on a winner-take-all basis. Thus, by winning by a narrow margin in very large states while losing by wide margins in smaller states, it is possible for a Presidential candidate to win the Presidency in the electoral college vote while accumulating a smaller percentage of the country's popular vote than his opponent.

This happened in 1824, when John Quincy Adams won the Presidency. It happened again in 1876, when Rutherford B. Hayes was chosen, and in 1888, when Benjamin Harrison was elected. And it very nearly happened again, as recently as 1960, when John F. Kennedy beat Richard Nixon.

Still, assuming all goes well, Americans should know on the evening of November 2, 1976, who their President and Vice-President will be for the opening years of their third century. Two months later, it should be confirmed - in time for the inaugural address and the attending celebrations.

Then Americans need concern themselves only with what it all cost them. For, under a new campaign-finance law passed in 1974, in the wake of the Watergate scandal, each candidate who is able to

Presidential candidatecan be elected with minority of popular vote