

Dr. D. J. Smith, of the State of South Carolina, is a strong advocate of the liquor traffic, and he is a strong advocate of the justice of the cause. If they could

has his colleague's cry own. He went to the whole question, shewing that the matter was covered up, and never appeared the reports of the Department for the previous 3 years—that Government had meted out one sort of treatment to the rich man and

## Provincial Legislature.

being committed on Crown Lands in the Counties of Gloucester and Restigouche, instructions were issued on the 6th of March, 1873, to make an inspection, and to seize all lumber cut without license in said Counties. But, acting on said instructions, Deputy Hargrave made a seizure on the Nemiscou

Your Committee find that in every case the seizing officers were instructed by the Department to exact \$1.00 per M., and in case of a portion seized by Deputy Ellis, that officer was directed to collect \$300 extra, being for special expenses incurred in

Mr. Davis, its being  
the following thought the  
Whereas, it is for North  
of public use the old law

penses Little River seizure	\$300.00
	<u>\$6,031.20</u>
Making a total at the rates imposed of \$6,031.00 for which the Department received \$1,345.45. Of this amount, however, \$1,193	

Mr. Ryan (Albert) presented a petition in support of a bill for the relief of Rev. William M'Guire. He then committed the bill, Mr. Flewelling in the chair.

1957