

*(Mr. Langton and Dr. Wilson publish a combined edition of their pamphlet at the expense of the University Funds.)*

Before entering into particulars, I beg to make three general remarks:—The one is, that this pamphlet, (if not the preceding ones also,) has been published at the expense, not of its authors, not of the College whose monopoly it advocates,—but at the expense of the Funds of the University, of which the parties whom they assail are as much members as themselves,—a fact which I should not have known had not Mr. Langton been rejected from continuing Vice Chancellor. While in that office, Mr. Langton could come to Toronto and provide for any sort of expenditure out of the University Funds, and then go to Quebec and audit and pass the accounts of them. In the investigation of this public question, the Committee of which you were Chairman, ordered that the expenses of both sides should be equally paid; but Messrs. Langton and Wilson have resorted to the University chest for supplies in their renewed effort to assail me and defeat the advocates of University reform. Mr. Langton, who is known to be the greatest pluralist in Canada—having filled four offices besides the one which is supposed to occupy him fully, and for which he receives a full salary—might, I think, have spared the University funds in this instance, if Dr. Wilson had no such sense of propriety and fairness. Of this I am confident, that had I proposed to do the same thing as to my speech in behalf of the petitioners, Mr. Langton would have held it unlawful, as is his and Dr. Wilson's proceeding in publishing their speeches and notes out of the income of the University. They may pervert the University Act to such a purpose, as it has been to many similar purposes; but such clearly was not its design. And it is an insult as well as a wrong to the petitioners of University reform and their representatives, for Mr. Langton and Dr. Wilson to assume a right and use of University funds for their personal and party purposes against others equally and more disinterestedly concerned in the National University than themselves.

*(Mr. Langton and Dr. Wilson's Amalgamation Speeches.)*

My second remark is, that these speeches are the same which Messrs. Langton and Wilson published last May and June. They were then published separately and without notes; but they seemed to fall still-born. The authors appear at length, to have thought that the two abortions might, by incorporation together, and by swatting the feebler parts with the bandages of personal and vituperative notes, be metamorphosed into a very Hercules of strength to crush the Chief Superintendent of Education. The thought was an ingenious conception of necessity; but the new-born amalgamation seems not answerable to the labour of bringing forth. The law of nature is still too strong for the feeble artifice of the ex-Vice Chancellor and his attendant Professor; for even "in this Canada of ours," two blacks cannot make one white, or even chemical affinity add to the weight of volatile particles.

*(Origin of Personalities—Summary View of the Question.)*

My third remark is, that this discussion ought never to have been encumbered with personalities. This feature of the discussion was introduced by Dr. Wilson, and has been pursued by him and Mr. Langton