ed with

l even

loctrine

not un-

lingness

(bottom

elieving

t from

na) has

ad been

edeemed

ischief." popular

fanciers.

enough

and just

is very hich will

ope, and

thumous

rist's con-

tian Insti-

best and

nfirms his h nothing,

d they are

fear;* and even a very small fear of "boundless worse" is enough to poison a very ample hope of "boundless better" (Pattison, p. 78, foot-note). Sir James Fitzjames Stephen hints that God may be a Being of limited benevolence, or at least of limited philanthropy. From this unpleasing hypothesis might it not follow that we may, here and hereafter, be made to suffer for the welfare of other beings, higher and more numerous than we are; and that this welfare may be of a kind which we can no more fathom than a guinea-pig

- * The doubt as to whether Ahriman will be less able to hold his own in the next world than in this, is expressed by Clough in the melancholy lines:
 - "Whither depart the souls of the brave that die in the battle,
 - Die in the lost, lost fight, for the cause that perishes with them?
 - Are they upborne from the field on the slumberous pinions of angels
 - Unto a far-off home, where the weary rest from their labour
 - And the deep wounds are healed, and the bitter and burning moisture
 - Wiped from the generous eyes? Or do they linger, unhappy,
 - Pining, and haunting the grave of their by-gone hope and endeavour?
 - Whither depart the brave?—God knows; I certainly do not."