

*Petitions*

projecting the third allotted day in this supply period was changed following the decision of the Chair on Thursday last.

The other point I would like to deal with is the allegation of the hon. member that the notice filed in the name of the Right Hon. Leader of the Opposition should have been printed on Friday's notice paper, since Friday, February 12, had been designated as an allotted day. As hon. members are aware, the government House leader indicated that February 12 would not be an allotted day and that instead Friday, February 19, would be the third allotted day in this supply period.

I need not remind hon. members of the discussion that took place on Thursday on this particular matter when I indicated to the House that, in accordance with Standing Order 18(2), government orders are called and considered as the government determines. Consequently, Friday, February 12 not being an allotted day, the notice paper did not reflect the text of the right hon. member's motion, nor the text of another motion received from the hon. member for Humboldt-Lake Centre (Mr. Althouse).

In his argument, the hon. member for Yukon suggested that, since the notice had not been withdrawn, the text of the motion should have been printed on the notice paper. I am in full agreement with the hon. member that the text of both notices, despite the fact that one was dated and one was not, should have appeared on the notice paper under the appropriate heading and I have directed the Clerk of the House to see to it that they are indeed printed on tomorrow's notice paper.

---

## ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

[Translation]

### METRIC COMMISSION

TABLING OF 1980-81 ANNUAL REPORT

**Hon. André Ouellet (Minister of Consumer and Corporate Affairs):** Madam Speaker, under the provisions of Standing Order 41(2), I have the honour of tabling in the House of Commons, in both official languages, the annual report of Metric Commission Canada for the financial year ending March 31, 1981.

\* \* \*

[English]

### PETITION

MR. LEWIS—LANDED IMMIGRANT STATUS FOR VISITORS FROM NORTHERN IRELAND

**Mr. Doug Lewis (Simcoe North):** Madam Speaker, I ask leave to present a petition on behalf of 754 concerned residents of Orillia and its surrounding area in Ontario. They ask that Sanchia, Kimberley, and Jacqueline Dougan, who are present-

ly visiting Mrs. Dougan's mother and brother in Orillia, be allowed to remain in Canada as landed immigrants on humanitarian grounds. They are concerned that if the Dougans are deported back to Northern Ireland, it will be under threat of certain death.

\* \* \*

## QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

**Mr. David Smith (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council):** Madam Speaker, the following questions will be answered today: Nos. 2,795, 3,027 and 3,215.

[Text]

### AIRPORT VEHICLE PARKING FEES

Question No. 2,795—**Mr. Mazankowski:**

1. Were the airport vehicle parking fees regulations amended to increase the tariff rates for automobile parking effective July 1, 1981 at the (a) Calgary (b) Ottawa (c) Regina (d) Saskatoon (e) Gander (f) Halifax (g) Toronto (h) Vancouver (i) Edmonton (j) Winnipeg (k) Quebec (l) Charlottetown (m) Mirabel (n) Dorval airports?

2. In each case, for each airport (a) what is the anticipated revenue from the vehicle parking tariffs for (i) July 1 to December 31, 1981 (ii) 1982 (b) what was the (i) anticipated (ii) actual revenue accruing from the fees for each year from 1978 to June 30, 1981?

3. What is the average rate of increase of the parking fees (a) across the board (b) for each category of fees set in the regulations?

**Mr. Robert Bockstael (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport):** 1. The Airport Vehicle Parking Fees Regulations were amended to increase the tariff rates for parking, effective July 1, 1981, at Calgary, Regina, Saskatoon, Edmonton, Vancouver, Winnipeg, Quebec and Dorval airports. Tariff rates were not increased at Ottawa, Gander, Halifax, Toronto, Charlottetown and Mirabel airports.

2(a), (i) and (ii) For each airport, the anticipated revenue in thousands of dollars is as follows:

	July 1—Dec. 31, 1981	FY 1981/82
Charlottetown	15.4	30.8
Halifax	303.3	583.4
Gander	6.6	11.5
Dorval	2625.0	3500.0
Mirabel	683.5	1367.0
Quebec	220.0	440.0
Ottawa	490.7	981.4
Toronto	5480.5	11162.5
Regina	112.5	225.0
Saskatoon	164.4	328.8
Winnipeg	445.0	890.0
Calgary	1162.5	2755.0
Edmonton	1022.2	2500.0
Vancouver	2080.0	3660.2

2(b), (i) For each year from 1978 to June 30, 1981, the anticipated revenue was: