MEREDITH, C.J.

MARCH 24TH, 1905.

CHAMBERS.

MACLEAN v. JAMES BAY R. W. CO.

Discovery—Examination of Plaintiff—Absence from Province—Right to Have Examination at Plaintiff's Place of Residence—Stay of Action—Concurrent Proceedings under Railway Act.

Appeal by plaintiff and cross-appeal by defendants from order of Master in Chambers, ante 440, staying proceedings in the action for a reasonable time to enable defendants to examine plaintiff after her return from abroad, but refusing to stay the action until the determination of concurrent proceedings for compensation under the Railway Act.

J. P. Mabee, K.C., for plaintiff.

R. B. Henderson, for defendants.

MEREDITH, C.J., allowed the plaintiff's appeal and directed that the plaintiff should be examined for discovery in London, England, and that the trial of the action should be stayed for one month to allow of the examination taking place; and dismissed defendants' appeal.

MEREDITH, C.J.

MARCH 24TH, 1905.

CHAMBERS.

SANGSTER v. AIKENHEAD.

Defamation — Discovery — Examination of Defendant—Admission of Publication—Refusal to give Name of Informant.

Appeal by plaintiff from order of Master in Chambers, ante 438, dismissing plaintiff's motion, in an action for libel, for an order requiring defendant, upon examination for discovery, to give the name of the person who informed him of