

profession can successfully resist exploiting societies. Let us take our cue from the "Fatherland," renowned the world over for its steady, progressive and solid advance in medical science. If Germany can successfully conduct a campaign against lodge practice, surely Canadian practitioners have the energy and the manhood to uphold their own honor and integrity. We are laughed at and have become a reproach and a by-word so far as "business" is concerned. Surely it is time to put up a vigorous and honorable fight for ourselves and our families. What have we to do with the pains and pangs of those who prowl around after the good-hearted doctor and beat him out of his fee? The poorest man very often does not need a doctor for months at a time, and most of the so-called medical charity is directed towards hum-bugs and dead-beats. Every representative to our medical councils should feel it incumbent on him to organize the profession in his district into a business association, responsible to the provincial medical associations, and then let the maternal medical organization, the Canadian Medical Association, have jurisdiction over all. We need organization very badly, and very sadly. Let us all get busy.

---

### DOES—"OUR MEDICAL PRESS REQUIRE(S) CONSIDERABLE REGENERATION"?

---

Coming from a man who has contributed many able articles to medical journal literature, that portion of the title of this editorial enclosed in quotation marks must cause those of us engaged in medical journalism serious thought and consideration. Had the words been uttered by a man who never has, never does, and never will, contribute anything to the medical literature of the day, the statement would not and need not have been noticed, because we deny the right to that individual to criticize. The medical man who never reports a case or some of his observations on any case of disease, who never sends to the medical press a prescription he has found useful, who never writes a paper, who never records an idea or a discovery, who never writes his opinion on any topic of medical politics, is scarcely competent to pass remarks upon the value of present-day journals. But these words come from a source, the utterance being from Dr. Ross, before the Ontario Medical Association, which commands thought.