

of safety." That the presbytery did err I am not prepared to admit, however sincere that valiant knight, or if you prefer, that reverend gentleman was, who fought so bravely for the rights of our supreme court, and insisted that the petition from Gould Street be rejected, that Dr. Burns be removed from moderating in their session and that they should find their own supply. Mr. Editor, when one thinks of such opposition in the face of all the facts developed in the course of discussion, he is under the necessity of thinking, "there must be wheels within wheels, I see some but surely I do not see all." However, the presbytery by a vote of *nineteen to three* did reject this motion, and adopted the amendment of Principal Willis, which proposed that, in compliance with the prayer of the petition Dr. Burns be permitted to supply the pulpit and moderate in the session for the period asked, reserving at the same time the right of the presbytery to interfere with this arrangement when competent parties thought that necessary.

It is not surprising that such was the decision of the court. Dr. Burns will preach. He must. It has become a necessity with him. He has been, is, and desires still to be useful in the upbuilding of Congregations; and if he can, by disinterested and unremunerated services, help a struggling and deserving Congregation at his door let him at length enjoy the relief from travel and exposure which this will secure. And we are much mistaken if the people of Gould Street do not show themselves in the future, as they have in the past, worthy of such encouragement.

X. Y.

#### LETTER FROM A CORRESPONDENT IN ENGLAND.

*To the Editor of the Canadian U. P. Magazine.*

DEAR SIR,—I will briefly mention to you two or three matters of no great importance, but the most likely to interest your readers of any thing that has reached me.

The Rev. Dr. Leitch, Principal of Queen's College, Kingston, has just resigned the pastoral charge of the Parish of Monimail, near Cupar, Fife. It is fully a year since he was appointed to the Principalship, but he has been officiating in the parish during the summer, and the law allowed him to hold his charge till now. I have been a little acquainted with Dr. Leitch, for a considerable time, and gladly bear testimony to the excellence of his character, and the extent of his attainments.

The case of the Bishop of Salisbury against one of the "Essayists and Reviewers" "has been set down for hearing, and will be tried in the Court of Arches in the course of a few days after the opening of Michaelmas term. In the preambles of the articles which have been filed, and which have been attested by Dr. Robert Phillimore and Dr. Swabey, who will appear for the prosecution on behalf of the Bishop of Salisbury, Dr. Rowland Williams is charged that he, being vicar of Broad Chalk, in the diocese of Salisbury, has within the last two years written, printed, published, dispersed and set forth, in a book entitled 'Essays and Reviews,' a certain article, or essay, or review, with divers notes thereto, entitled