

Curators appointed.

Re Geo. Bisset.—James Reid, Quebec, curator' June 12.

Re W. E. Brunet & Co., Quebec.—D. Arcand, Quebec, curator, June 19.

Re Peter Gannon.—C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator, June 18.

Re Gravel, Kent & Co., crockery merchants, Montreal.—David Williamson, Montreal, curator, June 18.

Re Lamothe & Hervieux.—O. Poliquin, Quebec, curator, June 19.

Re M. Lebourveau & Co., Eaton.—J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curator, June 15.

Re Mederic Lefebvre, Laprairie.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, June 14.

Re Joseph Mead, Coaticooke.—C. Millier & J. J. Griffith, Sherbrooke, joint curator, June 13.

Re O. A. McCoy.—J. P. Royer, Sherbrooke, curator, June 15.

Re F. X. Panneton, inn-keeper, Three Rivers.—T. E. Normand, N. P., Three Rivers, curator, June 15.

Re Anselme Poulin, Iberville.—A. F. Gervais, St. John's, curator, June 11.

Re Avery D. Reed.—Henry Miles, Montreal, curator, June 17.

Re Tremblay & Tremblay.—E. Angers, Malbaie, curator, May 15.

Dividends.

Re Dame L. Lambert.—First and final dividend, payable July 9, C. Desmarteau, Montreal, curator.

Re Dame Mary Anne White.—First and final dividend, payable July 9, W. A. Caldwell, Montreal, curator.

Re C. W. Higgins, Papineauville.—First and final dividend (11c.), payable July 8, J. McD. Hains, Montreal, curator.

Re Charles Guimont, Cap St. Ignace.—First and final dividend, payable July 9, H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator.

Re Léon L. Raymond, l'Ange Gardien.—First dividend, payable July 9, A. W. Wilks, Montreal, curator

Separation as to Property.

Hermine St. Denis vs. Théodore Delage, painter, Montreal, June 18.

GENERAL NOTES.

STREET ADVERTISEMENTS.—John Lee appeared at the City Summons Court recently in answer to a summons charging him with exposing an advertisement in Cheapside which had not been sanctioned by the Commissioners of Police. The defendant was attired in red trousers and wearing a long flowing girdle. On his chest and back were red straps, on which was the announcement of a tea and coffee business. It was proved that the consent of the commissioners had not been obtained.—Mr. Matthew, who represented the defendant's employer, submitted that there was no advertisement, as the defendant only had some lettering upon him. The Salvation Army went about, he said, with letters upon them, and so did the policemen with their numbers.—Alderman Tyler decided that it was an advertisement, and inflicted a fine of 5s. and costs.—*Law Journal.*

MURDER WILL OUT.—A dog proved to be a dangerous witness against his master in an Arkansas murder trial. The man denied ever having seen the dog before, but the animal picked him out among a dozen men, and manifested great delight at finding him. This incident was of importance, owing to the fact that the dog was found on the spot of the murder shortly after its occurrence, while the man declared that he had not been near the place.

LEGAL RECREATION IN DAKOTA.—A Dakota lawyer writes: "I have an addition to my family—a beautiful Jersey heifer calf. Am feeding him on skimmed milk and living on cream that is cream. I have been teaching the calf to drink, and if one could see me in sorry looking clothes with one finger in the calf's mouth, its head between my legs, and one hand holding the milk pail, and in this shape tossed about the barn wherever the rascal sees fit to drag me, it would forever ruin my chances for the bench."

Sir Matthew Begbie, Chief Justice of British Columbia, long ago earned a high reputation for courage and probity. It is related of him that when the miners first came into the country, and lawlessness was feared, he rode alone fifty miles into the interior, went into a miners' camp and said: "Now, boys, I want you to understand that if there is going to be any shooting here, there is going to be hanging." And, as a matter of fact, law and order were much better preserved in British Columbia than in the mining communities across the border.—*Toronto Globe.*

A MILLIONAIRE'S WILL.—A New York millionaire recently died, and when his will was read it was found to contain the following curious clause: "If any one of my heirs becomes idle, a drunkard, a gambler, or a worthless fellow, a rascal, or simply a spendthrift, if until the age of fifty he does not go to business by nine in the morning every day, save Sunday or holidays, if he touches tobacco in any form, or spirits, if he attends races, breaks the Sabbath, &c., he forfeits his right to the share allotted him of my fortune." The will is disputed by the heirs, but if it is held good, they will have to be careful.

A SUPREME COURT JUDGE.—Of Mr. Justice Gray, who was at the time a bachelor, but who is now married, a correspondent of the *Albany Times* writes: "Justice Gray, by the way, is a splendid specimen of manhood. He looks precisely like one of those English clergymen that Anthony Trollope delighted to depict in his innumerable novels. He must be fully six feet four weighs probably two hundred and fifty pounds, has a clear ruddy complexion, dark hair (what there is of it), blue eyes, no beard or moustache, and only spare whiskers, worn in the English style. Justice Gray has some peculiarities, both in dress and manner. He has been an almost constant resident of Washington since he took his seat upon the bench in 1885, but winter or summer, he has not been seen without an irreproachable white cravat. In the winter time he is given to wearing a very long overcoat of the sackcoat style, which comes almost to his heels, and the soles of his shoes are of an enormous thickness. The justice is an inveterate pedestrian, and if the weather will permit, he walks from his house to the Capitol, and generally alone. Although he is now sixty years old, and has been for twenty years upon the bench, either of his native State (Massachusetts) or of the (U.S.) Supreme Court, Justice Gray does not look a day over forty-five. With his excellent health, robust constitution and temperate habits, he has no doubt many years of active usefulness before him."