

Moved by Dr. McLean,

Resolved.—That Drs. Greenleaf and Bull be requested to continue the publication of the "HOMEOPATHIC JOURNAL" for another year.—Carried.

A number of cases were presented for advice and consultation, upon which interesting discussions occurred, after which the Society adjourned to meet at the Hall, to listen to the lecture of Prof. Gatchell.

At the time appointed, the Society convened at the Hall with a large audience, and listened to an interesting address, on the subject, "Is Homeopathy reasonable?" The lecture was listened to with marked attention, and was received with much applause.

On motion, the thanks of the Society were voted Prof. Gatchell for his able and interesting address.

On motion of Dr. McLean, a committee, consisting of Drs. Springer, Ferguson, and Bull, was appointed to solicit a copy of the address to be published with the minutes of the meeting in the Canadian Journal of Homeopathy.

Some interesting cases for consideration were presented by Prof. Gatchell and Dr. McLean, which after being examined and discussed the society adjourned.

J. J. LANCASTER,

Vice-President.

W. A. GREENLEAF,

Secretary.

We have received the Ninth Annual Circular of the Pennsylvania Homeopathic College of Philadelphia, also the Annual Circular of the Western College of Homeopathy, Cleveland, Ohio. We are pleased to learn that both are in a flourishing condition, well prepared with teachers to give the student the best in-

structions their respective cities afford. We are informed that the prospects of a larger class than ever before at Philadelphia are certain, and we have no doubt but the college at Cleveland will be well filled. With such facilities for instructing the young men in our profession, and the frequent additions to our number from the ranks of allopathy, our practice must rapidly gain the ascendancy.

For the Homeopathic Journal.
**THE MISREPRESENTATIONS OF
HOMEOPATHY.**

(Continued from page 111.)

7. HAHNEMANNEAN HOMEOPATHY.—Chance lately threw in my way a number of the "Journal of Specific Homeopathy," published at Auburn, N. Y., edited by F. Humphreys, M.D., and devoted to the dissemination of "specific homeopathic medicines," somewhat better known under their old title of "New Era Pills." In that No. is an article headed "Hahnemannean Homeopathy," to which I purpose making some reply, as it contains several statements which deserve a somewhat stronger title than "misrepresentation."

It seems rather late in the day to reply to an article published in July, 1855, however, "better late than never." The writer seems to consider the terms "Hahnemannean homeopathy" and "pure homeopathy" as equivalent, and accordingly he uses them in the same sense.

The writer thus commences:—"Much is said in these days about Hahnemannean homeopathy; and many practitioners noisily vaunt themselves as pure homeopaths." The impression attempted to be conveyed to the public mind is, that this "pure homeopathy"—this "Hahnemannean homeopathy," is some-