



Specially Attractive For November

Are Our Extra Values in

Household Napery

Linen Damask Table Cloths, Table

Napkins, Linen Huck Towels and Tur-

kish Bath Towels.

Wool Blankets

Swandown Blankets and Sheets, Bath

Comforts, White Marcellines and Honey-

comb Quilts, Italian Rugs, Eldorado

Quilts.

Colored and Black

Suits and Gownings

Very extra values in short ends and

useful linen remnants.

New Goods and Styles

Specially emphasized in our grand

displays of

Cloaks and Costumes

Suits, Jackets, Capes, Skirts, Wraps,

Shawls and Rugs and Negliges.

Laces and Lace Gowns

Collars, Barbies, Fichus, etc.

JOHN CATTO & SON

King Street—opposite the Post-Office.

SUITS IN THE HIGH COURT.

Action for Alleged Breach of Con-

tract Dismissed.

In the non-jury Assize Court yester-

day Chief Justice Meredith dismissed

with costs the action of Winans v.

Bulledge. This was an action for

damages brought by a farm wife of

Whitburn Township for the alleged

violation of an agreement to cut a cor-

tain section of timber on the plaintiff's

farm. The plaintiff claimed that the

timber was not cut according to con-

tract, and claimed unstated damages

by reason thereof. The evidence was

very contradictory.

The action of Kny Schuever Co.

of New York and Montreal against the

Chandler-Massey Co. of this city was

continued. The plaintiffs are seeking

to recover a balance of \$417.35 and

\$5000 damages for an alleged breach

of an agreement to purchase only their

goods. The case was on this morning.

On behalf of George F. Sproule,

John McGregor has entered a suit

against A. G. Strachan and Sons for

\$5000 for alleged trespass. The

plaintiff claims he was wrongfully ex-

cluded from 36 West King-street and

seeks damages for injury to his busi-

ness. He also wants to recover the

value of goods alleged to be wrongfully

retained by the defendants.

Mrs. Anna Robinson is suing the

Street Railway Co. for \$500 for in-

juries received in a recent accident.

Her husband is also suing for \$1000.

A suit has been entered by the

half of J. S. Leo and Emma Cohen

Leo of Montreal, against Cohen Bros.

Co., of Montreal, and against the di-

rectors and officers. The object of the

action is to have it declared that cer-

tain bylaws passed at a special meet-

ing of the shareholders of the company

on Nov. 3 are invalid, and for an in-

junction restraining the company, its

directors and officers from acting upon

such bylaws. A suit was also entered

to compel George Loughheed to comply

with the terms of an alleged agreement

with George H. Pauls, 3 Tecumseh-street.

Pauls agreed to exchange 100 acres

of land in Manitoba for lands in

KEEPING ALDERMEN ACTIVE

Many Matters for Civic Fathers to

Attend to as the Year

Grows Older.

MORE TROUBLE OVER SIMCOE PARK

Proposal to Light Clock With

Acetylene—No New Fire Hall

for Berkeley-Street.

The proposal to grant permission to

a number of citizens to erect summer

cottages on Simcoe Park is meeting with

considerable opposition from Ald. Lamb

and some members of the Property

Committee. It was discussed again on

Thursday afternoon, when a letter from

City Solicitor Caswell was read. Mr.

Caswell had been ordered to prepare a

bailout to remove or recall the dedica-

tion of the strip of land so that it might

be leased. He points out that the grant

under which this land is patented to

the city, specially provides that all

leases on the land shall be made with

the approval of the Lieutenant-Govern-

or-in-Council, and all net rents or

profits must be spent on Queen's Park

or on other improvements in the city of

a public or municipal character as the

Lieutenant-Governor may direct.

Ald. Lamb wants it kept as a park

entirely, as the east end people are en-

titled to it. Ald. Urquhart also pre-

dicts a great future for the park when

the street cars get down that way.

There is the finest beach in the

city there; the matter will be taken

up by a committee who will re-

port to the Council at next meeting.

Wiring Down a Work Night.

The electric wiring at the new St.

Lawrence Market is a failure so far,

and City Commissioner Casworth was

instructed to estimate the cost of mak-

ing the wires useful. There is enough

drawback on the contract to pay the

necessary sum.

No fees will be charged for "basket

room" at the new St. Lawrence Mar-

ket. The Market Committee recom-

mended that a fee of 10c a day be

charged, but the committee struck out

the recommendation.

The final certificate for the installa-

tion of the pneumatic clock system in

the Hall was presented by Ambrose

Kent & Son, the amount being \$1244,

and the committee will recommend that

it be paid, as the clocks are working

in good order now.

Should Have Civic Insurance.

City Treasurer Coady informed the

committee that the insurance on city

buildings was about to expire, and it

is time to make an estimate of the cost

of the insurance. The committee recom-

mended that the insurance be renewed

on the basis of \$700,000.

The matter stands till next Tuesday.

The desirability of a municipal insur-

ance company was enlarged upon, but

it was agreed that the members of

the committee are too largely interest-

ed in insurance companies to think of

granting the necessary legislation.

To Light the Clock.

The St. Lawrence Gas Company offers

to temporarily install one of their acety-

lene gas generators for the purpose of light-

ing the clock in the City Hall clock

tower for a period of two weeks without

cost to the city.

R. S. Neville also wrote to the com-

mittee on behalf of a client who would

like to install a gasoline plant.

Enquiries made of the insurance com-

mittee elicited the information that there

would be no extra charge for insurance

in account of an accident in the clock

in the building. The matter was re-

ferred to the clock sub-committee.

Berkeley-street fire hall will not be

torn down and replaced with a new

\$7000 building, but it will be

reconstructed at a cost of \$8000. The

joint committee of the Property Commit-

tee and the Fire and Light Committee

on Thursday afternoon decided that

the reconstruction would do equally as

well as an entire new building. Ald.

Shepard didn't think so, but Ald. Shep-

pard was sure of it. The aldermen vis-

ited the place on Tuesday.

More Assessment Appeals.

Appeals from the decision of the Court

of Revision were heard by Judge Mc-

Dougal yesterday afternoon. The ap-

peals were against the assessment of

\$7000 on St. James' Cathedral, ap-

pealed against \$7000 on St. James'

Cathedral, which is in arrears, but

the appeal did not succeed. The church

wardens thought the property should be

valued at \$10,000, but the court held

that the property was worth \$7000.

The assessment of the Presb. Hall

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.

The assessment of the Bell Telephone

Building, from \$108,500 to \$178,500

was also appealed. The court held that

the assessment was correct.