

ANS. 7.—I never heard of any disapproval either directly or indirectly.

ANS. 8.—I, on several occasions, have heard students propose to have it published, and the reply generally given was, "The Provost would not like it." Whether or not he was consulted, I cannot say.

The next set of answers is from a layman, now resident in the Diocese of Huron.

ANS. 1.—Attendance on the catechism lecture was compulsory.

ANS. 2.—The Provost read from his manuscript as a continuous lecture, but must have been aware that we had it either written, or took very few notes in the room, and both questions and answers were contained in his lecture, although not distinguished as such by him, being probably aware that we had both questions and answers before us.

ANS. 3.—The students had both questions and answers written before they entered the room, and only compared their's with the Provost's while he read.

ANS. 4.—The students were expected on the lecture day to answer the questions of the preceding lecture day in the substance, and as much as possible in the words given.

ANS. 5.— I never did.

ANS. 6.—Each student of the first year either borrows, and copies a manuscript from the borrowed copy, or purchases from a student of the second or third year his manuscript.

ANS. 7.—I never heard him say any thing *pro* or *con* in the matter.

ANS. 8.—I never heard any proposition of the kind, though it might have been made without my knowledge.

The following is an extract from a note received from a lay gentleman, residing at some distance: "I do not think the Provost has ever given both questions and answers to any student to copy, but I heard when I was at college that he lent his questions on one occasion, and that a copy was taken of them. Of course, as soon as the students had a copy of the questions which were to be put to them, they