Reid, Richardson, Roberts, Robinson, Rochon, Roy (Timmins), Roy (Laval),

Serré,

Sharp,

(Northumberland-Miramichi), Smith (Saint-Jean), Stafford, Stanbury, Stewart (Cochrane), Stewart (Okanagan-Kootenay),

Smith

St. Pierre,
Sulatycky,
Sullivan,
Thomas
(Maisonneuve),
Tolmie,
Trudeau,
Trudel,
Turner
(London East),

Turner
(Ottawa-Carleton),
Wahn,
Walker,
Watson,
Weatherhead,
Whelan,
Whiting,
Yanakis—136.

NAYS

Messrs:

Aiken, Douglas (Nanaimo-Lambert Nowlan. Alexander. Cowichan-The (Edmonton West). Nystrom, Alkenbrack, Laprise, Islands), Peddle. Asselin, Downey. Lewis. Peters. Baldwin, Fairweather. MacEwan. Ricard. Barnett, Flemming, MacInnis Ritchie, Beaudoin, Forrestall. (Cape Breton-Rodrigue, Bell. Fortin, East Richmond), Rose, Bigg, Gauthier, Rynard, MacInnis (Mrs.). Saltsman. Brewin. Gilbert. MacLean, Broadbent, Gleave, Macquarrie, Schumacher, Burton. Grills. McCleave, Scott. Cadieu Gundlock, McGrath. Simpson, (Meadow Lake). McKinley, Hales. Skoberg, Caouette, Harding, Marshall, Stanfield. Carter, Harkness, Mather, Stewart (Marquette), Coates. Hees. Tétrault, Mazankowski, Code. Horner. Thomas (Moncton), Monteith, Comeau. Howard (Skeena), Thompson Moores. Crouse, Howe. Muir (Cape Breton-(Red Deer). Danforth. Knowles (Winnipeg The Sydneys), Winch. North Centre), Muir (Lisgar), Dinsdale. Woolliams. Dionne, Korchinski, Noble, Yewchuk-83.

The Order being read for resuming debate on the motion of Mr. Blair, seconded by Mr. Deachman,—That the Third Report of the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization, presented to the House on Friday, June 20, 1969, be concurred in.

And on the proposed amendment of Mr. Baldwin, seconded by Mr. Rynard, —That the Third Report of the Standing Committee on Procedure and Organization be referred back to the Committee, with instructions to amend it by deleting therefrom proposed Standing Order 75c.

The honourable Member for Winnipeg North Centre (Mr. Knowles) having raised a point of order as to the application of Standing Order 51.

RULING BY MR. SPEAKER

Mr. Speaker: A moment ago I noticed that two or three honourable Members wanted to rise. They are rising allegedly, but I am sure honestly, for the purpose of discussing the point of order. However, at the same time, whether we like it nor not, it is extremely difficult to limit our contributions in this kind of debate to the strictly procedural aspects of the matter. It is perhaps natural