

the action, and was not granted; and the learned Judge said that he could not now interfere. It appeared to him that there was no practice which authorised the removal of a trustee and the appointment of a new trustee, or of a receiver in his place, in the absence of all those beneficially interested. One of the cestuis que trust had no right, for any such purpose as this, to assume to represent all. All have a right to be heard before the property is taken from the custody where it has been placed by the joint action. Substantially this syndicate was a partnership. What was really sought was a dissolution of that partnership, and the winding-up of its affairs, in the absence of a majority of the partners. Motion refused, with costs, but without prejudice to any application that may be made in a properly constituted action, and without prejudice to any motion that may be made against the defendant company, if, as was alleged, it had failed to obey any orders that had been made in the action. W. J. McWhinney, K.C., and A. Cohen, for the plaintiffs. C. A. Moss, for the defendants.