course in the National schools is the third treaties would find the door of admission of science is likely to be obtained in these schools, even if the experiment be made.

A TARIFF FOR THE EMPIRE.

There is a manifest inconvenience in the High Commissioner of Canada raising grave questions of public policy, on which the people of Canada are not likely to agree, on which the great majority of them would probably take a view diametrically opposite to that which he presents and advocates. More than once, if we mistake not, Sir Charles Tupper has taken this that, in advocating one tariff for the empire and another for foreigners, he is expressing the views of his government. It may be taken for granted that the Canadian government has not considered, much less accepted, any such project; and it may be that the view expressed by the High Commissioner will fail to meet acceptance at the hands of his principals.

In his second public committal, on what should be forbidden questions to a Commissioner not under special instructions, Sir Charles got himself out of one ditch only to fall into another. He has given up Federation of the Empire, of which he was recently the advocate, as it has always hitherto been presented. He sees that no scheme of parliamentary representation that would be acceptable to different parts of the Empire can be formed, and frankly admits the failure of the attempt to prepare one that would give satisfaction. But instead of stopping here, he thinks he is bound to produce an alternative scheme; and so he offers us a double tariff, a tariff for the Empire and a tariff to be applied to foreign nations. He will probably not be long in discovering that it is impossible to frame a common tariff for the empire. What does such a proposal imply? It implies that on, say cottons, Canada should abolish her duties, that England should adopt our duties, or that some compromise between the free list of the English tariff and our rates of duty should be made. Is any one of these things likely to happen? Will Canada abolish her duties on cottons? Will England adopt our duties on cottons? Will England, India, Australia, Canada, and other self governing dependencies agree upon a common duty on cottons? This of the three is nearest the possible; but does any one seriously believe it to be possible, not to say probable? If agreement on a single item is practically out of the question, what chance is there that a common tariff, embracing all articles of import and export, can be agreed upon?

But if a common tariff for the British Empire can be framed by the mutual consent of its various parts, how is a common tariff for enforcement against foreigners to be framed? And if it were framed would not the discrimination beget retaliation? The "most favored nation" clause of commercial treaties might not be trenched upon in the process, probably would not be. But the dependencies which have frequently about there will be a marked improvement in been excluded from British commercial business."

recommendation; but only a thin varnish | effectually closed against them. Canada, to take an example, has hitherto not made discrimination a rule of her tariff; her custom has been to treat all nations alike. A different policy, if now formed and acted upon, would be resented as an act of commercial unfriendliness by foreign countries; and the double tariff which we used against other nations, these nations would be liable to turn against ourselves.

> On the theory that "Parliamentary Federation is impossible," the common tariff for the empire would have to be enacted by the Parliament of Great Britain, in which Canada would not be represented. doubt she would have an opportunity of expressing her views on the subject, in advance, but she could only do so in common with Australia and India. The dependencies would either have to act together, through a commission created for the purpose, or each dependency would have to express its views through its own constitutional organs, And when this was done, the Imperial Parliament would have to concur-to register the decree of the colonies and to accept it as the rule of its own destiny-which it would not be very likely to do. To us the scheme appears to be hopelessly impracticable,

Sir Charles himself admits that the colonies will not give up the right to make their own tariffs. Instead of one tariff for application to foreign nations, there would be as many tariffs as there are self-governing dependencies. The proposal of Sir Charles Tupper is of a retrograde nature: it seeks to establish a new colonial system on the ruins of the old, to take us back to the second phase of the colonial system, when absolute exclusion of foreign traders had ceased to be the rule, and British goods and colonial produce were reciprocally favored. It means a partial revival of the timber duties and the Corn laws, in return for similar favors to British manufactures. Is England prepared thus to go back, and reverse the policy to which she undoubtedly owes a large share of her prosperity? Nobody, not even Sir Charles Tupper, can believe that she is.

-The London (Eng.) Statist sees signs of improvement in British trade circles. There have been no serious failures for some time past. "Credit," it says, "is gradually recovering, and with the recovery of credit there is more disposition to engage in new ventures." The labor difficulty is approaching a solution. Stocks are at a low ebb and production has been checked for some time past, and large industrial concerns, generally, as well as manufacturers and individuals, are economising, which, the journal quoted thinks, "must by and by give place to large outlay." "There is," it continues, "the extraordinary cheapness of and abundance of money. Bills have been discounted by banks this week (July 11) at $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. and the bill brokers and discount houses are talking of reducing to ½ per cent. the interest they allow for deposits at notice. In such a state of things it is inevitable that people should tire of leaving their money on deposit, and when this comes

BANKING REVIEW.

The figures of the June bank statement will be found in condensed form below, and are compared with those for the previous

CANADIAN BANK STATEMENT. LIABILITIES.

June, 1885. May, 1885.

•	Capital authorized.	June, 1885	
S	Capital paid up Reserve Funds	61,821,159	61,801,646
f	Notes in Circulation Dominion and Pro-	-	29,124,205
1	vincial Govern- ment deposits Deposits held to secure Govern-	8,949,03	7,417,618
f -	ment contracts & for Insurance Companies	677.104	l 668 ,2 69
1	Public deposits on demand	43.879.297	
,	Public deposits after notice Bank loans or de-	51,151,138	
•	posits from other banks secured Bank loans or depo-	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••
•	sits from other banks unsecured. Due other banks in	1,004,827	870,266
	Canada Due other banks in	1.495.950	1,295,203
	Foreign Countries Due other banks in	99,019	157,191
1	Great Britian Other liabilities	$\substack{1,258,531\\302,604}$	
		ASSETS.	, , ,
	Specie	10.508 583	
	of other banks Due from other	5.641.687	4,968,7)5
	banks in Canada. Due from other banks in foreign	2,800,180	2,609,359
	countries Due from other	8,663,913	8,543,783
	banks in Great Britain	2,111,589	2,230,394
	Immediately available assets Dominion Government debentures	\$ 36,629,848	\$ 35,388,555
	or stock Public securities other than Can-	945,449	1,056,699
	adian	2,809,827	2,507,210
	& Prov. Gov Loans on stocks.	6,112,297	5,743,237
l	bonds or deben Loans to municipal	12,647,851	12,909,969
ı	corporations Loans to other cor-	2,329,504	1,556,025
l	porations Loans to or deposits made in other	18,126,208	18,046,156
	banks secured Loans to or deposits made in other	98,154	88,154
1	banks unsecured Discounts current Overdue paper un-	$\substack{437,550 \\ 125,729,257}$	$\substack{465,857 \\ 125,859,433}$
	secured Other overdue debts	1,521,184	1,524,572
	unsecured Notes and debts	19,008	13,956
]	overdue secured Real estate Mortgages on real	$2,473,991 \\ 1,310,024$	2,556,595 $1,299,179$
	estate sold	831,471	839,740
Ĉ	Bank premises Other assets	3,235,515 $2,007,519$	3,223,745 $2,202,237$
Ė	Total assets, Average amount of specie held during	217,264,656	\$215,291,319
A	the month V. Dom. notes do Loans to Directors	$\substack{6,757,196\\10,325,408}$	6,466,083 9,782,688
	or their firms	9,812,176	9,722,271
8	An error in the sta um of \$875,030 amo	ong the ove	placed the rdue debts