

THE HERALD

WEDNESDAY, July 25th, 1900.

Subscription—\$100 A YEAR, PUBLISHED EVERY WEDNESDAY JAMES McISAAC, Editor & Proprietor.

If there is one thing more than another that our Grit friends, who in opposition, condemned in season and out of season it was the alleged extravagance of the members of the late Government and their friends. The high salaries and the luxurious living of Cabinet Ministers and their friends, as well as the expensive palaces and other accessories of public office formed a theme of constant criticism. And no one more heartily joined in this hue and cry or more frothily declaimed against such application of the public funds, than Sir Louis Davies. On every public platform he was the wailing ring with his fierce denunciations; he could scarcely find in his repertory of condemnatory epithets anything strong enough to characterize such conduct, and the resources of his laudatory rhetoric were all but exhausted in his frantic and persistent efforts to arouse the prejudices of the populace against the Conservatives, in consequence of what he alleged to be extravagance in the expenditure of the people's money. One would think that any Government of which Sir Louis would become a member, would be characterized by the most rigid economy, and that, above all, there would be no evidence of favoritism to the families of ministers. In view of all this, it is most astonishing, to say the least, to find the following array of figures in the Auditor General's report for 1899. These figures show that not only Sir Louis, but several of his family relations are feeling suspiciously at the public crib. Here are the figures: Sir L. H. Davies, salary, \$7,000 " Sessional allowance, 1,000 " Travelling expenses, 100 " International Com., 2,630

AT OTTAWA.

End of the Session.

(Special Correspondence to THE HERALD.)

Ottawa, July 21.—The session is over. The members who in mid-winter walked up the path to the opening benches, under the shadow of the Parliament Hill, are now in mid-summer bloom. Only once since Confederation has the session been so long, and that was fifteen years ago, when the party in opposition obstructed the franchise bill and tried to make capital out of the Northwest Rebellion. This year we had a tracheal bill also, and a war. But the opposition to-day did not find it necessary to occupy more than half the time of the session, while in 1885 more than two columns out of three in Hansard were filled by opposition members. The government of to-day suggests rather than changes the liberal conservatives have made the session long by obstruction. But there is only one method of obstruction possible, and that is by talk. An examination of Hansard will show that under ordinary circumstances the opposition side occupies more time than the government side. Yet that has not happened this year. In the debate on the address the government side offered more speeches than the opposition. In the budget debate the government party claimed the first word and the last. The first amendment to the finance minister's motion to go into supply was moved from the government side for the glorification of the government.

RELATED MINISTERS

The estimates were made behind time. The budget speech was not delivered until the house had been nearly two months in session. The supplementary estimates for the year ending March 31st were not on the table until the twelfth month of the year for which they were voted, and some of them were not read until the year had expired. The house had been five months in session when Mr. Fleming brought in over seven million dollars of appropriations, embracing some eight hundred items, including ninety grants for one class of public works or one province. Still later Mr. Blair brought down the railway subsidy bill, involving certain obligations of three millions, and an ultimate outlay three times as large.

A TALKING GOVERNMENT.

Then the ministers were possessed with a perfect mania for talking about themselves and their achievements. Every vote asked for by the occasion of a stamp speech, in which the speaker himself was ennobled. Mr. Fisher delivered an address in which it was made to appear that he invented the department of agriculture, discovered cold storage, created Professor Robertson, made the experimental farm, held the copyright on cheese, and a patent on butter, and had opened up the cattle trade with England. The minister who found all the services and industries organized and who under the direction of Mr. Robertson has gone forward implicitly, though not humbly, in the way of his predecessors, spent several hours in showing the house that agricultural history began with him in 1867.

THE PICNIC SEASON.

Sir Louis Davies, Mr. Fielding and Mr. Blair, with their families, have sailed for Europe. Mr. Tarte has not returned. Mr. Sifton has just got home from his tour. Sir Louis Davies, Mr. Tarte and several of the ministers are off for tours on the continent in which they belong. When they all come back in the early autumn they will decide about the election.

IN CHINA!

The Shanghai correspondent of the London Daily Mail sends the following: "The allied troops resumed the attack upon the Chinese walled city of Tien Tsin on the morning of July 14th, and succeeded in breaching the walls and capturing all the forts. The Chinese were completely routed, and the allies took possession of the entire city and its fortifications. The total loss of the allies in the engagements of Thursday, Friday and Saturday were about eight hundred killed or wounded. The casualties were greatest among the Russians and Japanese."

THE COST OF A HURRY.

The Stewart claim on the Shellings Canal contract is assuming large proportions. Stewart had a contract when the change of government took place, and Mr. Blair contended that he would get the work done by the end of 1898. He had completed the contract and spent it to others, who agreed to complete it by that time. Mr. Blair's contractors have not finished the work and will not have it done until December, or two years later than the time indicated on when the contract was taken from Mr. Stewart. But if the work was made in part of time, there

similar conduct on the part of the Grit press. That is independence with a vengeance! Infinitely better is to have a journal of pronounced party views, even if somewhat extreme, than one making a hypocritical pretence, to independence, while losing no opportunity of stabbing you in the dark. In the former case you have an open friend or foe, as the case may be, while in the latter you have under the guise of "independence," a snake in the grass, like the Guardian.

AT OTTAWA.

End of the Session.

(Special Correspondence to THE HERALD.)

A CEMENT JOB.

Then there is a cement story in connection with this canal. Mr. Blair bought between 30,000 and 30,000 barrels of cement from some gentleman, who seem to have had a pull. It was for use on a part of the canal which the government was constructing. The engineer in charge had reported that this kind of cement was not suitable, and that Portland cement should be used. He was, however, ordered to get in the article furnished to him, and to obey orders. The result was that the work so constructed had to be torn down. Not only was the money paid for this cement wasted, but the contractor had to pay for building and hauling down the structure. Fortunately, some 20,000 barrels of the cement had not been used. This was piled on the beach somewhere, and is there yet, a complete loss. The cement dealer had to have cost the government \$2,000 for goods and probably \$10,000 in wasted work.

A HUNDRED THOUSAND DOLLAR PICNIC.

Added to these losses is one said to be \$100,000 through the premature watering of the canal. The minister had last year intimated with a desire to proclaim to the world that the St. Lawrence canal was completed. He invited a party and got a craft of some kind and made a sort of triumphal picnic excursion, where everybody was well fed and liquored, and all praised the ministers. When it was all over it was found that the canal banks had caved in or fallen out, and that the damages thereon to the tune of one hundred thousand dollars.

SENATE AND COMMONS.

In the last hours of the session the senate and the commons failed to agree in some matters. Mr. Mulock's bill to reduce postage on newspapers circulating beyond the bounds of the province where they were printed met with the objection that it imposed a penalty on the most enterprising and popular papers. The senators were not allowed to amend the bill by making the reduced rate applicable to all circulation, so they declined to pass the measure, and newspaper postage remains as it was.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.

THE BILL PROVIDING, AMONG OTHER THINGS, FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF THREE ADDITIONAL JUDGES IN QUEBEC PROVINCE, AT A COST TO THE DOMINION OF \$15,000 A YEAR SO CHANGED BY THE SENATE AS TO LEAVE THE QUEBEC JUDGES OUT. IT APPEARS TO BE SIMILAR EVEN BY THE SOLICITOR GENERAL THAT QUEBEC HAS AT THE JUDGES THAT ARE NEEDED, IF THEY WERE ONLY PROPERLY LOCATED. THE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE DECLINES TO RE-ADJUST THE DISTRICT, BUT CALLS FOR THE EXTRA JUDGE, AND THE SENATORIAL SIDE THAT THE DEMAND IS NOT JUSTIFIABLE.