

*Grievance Procedure*

**Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West):** Mr. Speaker, I regret very much that we should have to end the day on such an acrid tone, but I must say in all respect that I think you interpret the Standing Orders wrongly as regards the request for the approval of the House. Many sections of the Standing Orders require general agreement, unanimous consent, and it is very clear. Right now, the unanimous consent gives one member, without reason nor justice, the right of veto, and I say that in the circumstances, that right does not exist, has never existed and should not exist.

● (1800)

[*English*]

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order. I must tell the hon. member that I have made my decision and that I stand on my decision. If he wishes to argue the case with the House

leaders and attempt to have the rules changed and clarified, that is his privilege, but a decision has been made and unless there are further points of order or questions of privilege I shall call it six o'clock.

**Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton):** I wish to say something on this point of order because I think the government House leader is a little concerned about what has happened today. I believe he is genuinely concerned about the operations of the House and the rights of hon. members. He did say that all members were equal in terms of the rules and procedures of this House, but I think the demonstration today indicates that some members are more equal than others.

**An hon. Member:** What a pompous statement.

At 6.04 p.m. the House adjourned, without question put, pursuant to Standing Order.