

Orders for Returns

3.	1960-61	38
	1959-60	36
	1958-59	42
	1957-58	43
	1956-57	44
4.	None.	

*CL-41 JET TRAINER—DESIGN DELAYS

Question No. 308—Mr. Herridge:

1. Has any communication been received from aircraft lodge 712 of the international association of machinists, Montreal, protesting design delays by the R.C.A.F. which prevent the production of the jet trainer CL-41?

2. If so, are there design delays and what is their cause; when is it expected that the CL-41 will go into production; and what answer has been made to aircraft lodge 712?

Mr. O'Hurley:

1. I received a delegation from this union on March 14, during the afternoon.

2. There are no design delays in this program. As for the last part of the question, I cannot say definitely one way or the other when this program will go ahead, as there is still approval to be obtained.

QUESTIONS PASSED AS ORDERS
FOR RETURNS

LETTER FROM MARITIME RETIRED CIVIL SERVANTS

Question No. 292—Mr. Fisher:

Has the government recently received a request from Mr. A. R. Buckler, 90 Park street, Kentville, N.S., as president of the maritime retired federal civil service association, seeking an adjustment in pensions to better meet the present cost of living; and, if so, what was the date of the request, the name of the minister who replied to it, and the substance of the reply?

MILITARY TRAINING EXPENDITURES IN
MONTREAL CATHOLIC SCHOOLS

Question No. 309—Mr. Dupuis:

1. What amounts has the Department of National Defence paid during 1959, 1960, and 1961 for the military training of cadets and students (militia) attending the schools of the Catholic school board of Montreal?

2. What are the names of the officers (with addresses) being paid in each of these schools, and the amounts paid to each of them in each of the said years?

3. What amount has been paid to each of the following schools for the maintenance of uniforms, rifles, equipment, etc.:

(1) Chomedey de Maisonneuve, 1820 Morgan st., Montreal;

(2) Jean-Baptiste Meilleur, 2237 Fullum st., Montreal;

(3) Urgel Archambault, 8300 De Teck, Montreal;

(4) Philippe-Perrier, 5925—27th avenue, Rosemont, Montreal;

(5) Pie IX, 10919 Garipey st., North Montreal;

(6) Mgr. Georges Gauthier, 750 East Gouin blvd., Montreal;

(7) St. Viateur, 7315 DeLanauidiere st., Montreal;

(8) Christophe-Colomb, 6891 Christophe-Colomb st., Montreal;

[Mrs. Fairclough.]

(9) Le Plateau, 3700 Calixa Lavallee st., Montreal;

(10) Louis Hebert, 6361—6th avenue, Rosemont, Montreal;

(11) St. Henri, 4105 St. James st., Montreal;

(12) St. Luc, 5100 St. Luc, Montreal;

(13) Simon Sanguinet, 1260 Sanguinet st., Montreal;

(14) Louis Pasteur, 1995 Victor Dore st., Montreal?

4. What amount has been paid to the officials of each of the said schools?

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

INQUIRY AS TO CONSIDERATION OF
SUPPLEMENTARY ESTIMATES

On the orders of the day:

Hon. Lionel Chevrier (Laurier): May I direct a question to the house leader and ask what conclusion the minister came to with respect to the proposal which was made on behalf of the opposition at five o'clock on Friday evening, and which the minister undertook to consider over the week end?

Hon. Gordon Churchill (Minister of Veterans Affairs): The proposal made by the hon. member for Laurier was examined. The decision was reached to go ahead with the supplementary estimates in the order in which they stand in the book.

Mr. Chevrier: Having regard to the change of business as indicated by the minister's office to me this morning, namely a change to supplementary estimates from legislation which was announced on Friday, and which was to have been gone on with today, may I ask the house leader what made him change his mind with reference to the order of business?

Mr. Churchill: I agreed to consider over the week end the proposition which was put forward by the hon. member for Laurier at five minutes to five o'clock on Friday. He might have communicated with me earlier in the day with regard to the matter, but he threw it into the house then and issued an ultimatum to the effect that he had to have a decision by six o'clock. This ultimatum I refused to accept. Over the week end we decided to go ahead with the supplementary estimates as we are now doing.

Mr. Chevrier: I would appreciate it if the minister would answer my question.

POWER

COLUMBIA RIVER AND NATIONAL GRID—MEETING
WITH B.C. PREMIER

On the orders of the day:

Mr. H. W. Herridge (Kootenay West): Mr. Speaker, I wish to address a question to the Minister of Finance on a matter that is