Q. Angus Thom ?—A. Yes.

Q. The secretary of the new company?—A. He was not secretary then.

Q. When did he come to Quebec in order to have that meeting?—A. I think he had been there, probably, a week or ten days before. He had been there several days before I went down.

Q. Did you occupy the same room with Mr. Thom?—A. I think I came back to

Montreal and then went back to Quebec and had a different room.

- Q. When you came back, did you and Mr. Thom occupy adjoining rooms?—A. I don't remember.
- Q. Mr. Thom went with you to complete the transfer of the stock held by Mr. Robitaille?—A. We went together on that occasion.

Q. That was on the day I mentioned, was it not?—A. I am not sure.

Q. Was it not on the day before Mr. Robitaille left to attend this Session of Parliament, that you met there?—A. My impression is, that it was on the last day of Mr. Robitaille's stay there that Mr. Thom and I saw him together.

Q In his room?—A. Yes.

Q. About the transfer of stock?—A. Yes.

Q. He had the transfer of a stock, had he not ?—A. You mean the transfer blank—yes; I believe so.

Q. You two went there to get it?—A. I don't know whether I went there to get it; I went there with Mr. Thom.

Q. You brought it away ?—A. I have no recollection of that.

Q. Are you sure ?—A. It is possible we did so.

Q. If Mr. Robitaille says you did, you would not deny it?—A. No; nor anything else that Mr. Robitaille says.

Q. Who carried the cheque for \$24,000 to that room, you or Mr. Thom? It was Mr. Thom?—A. I think it must have been Mr. Thom.

Q. Angus Thom !—A. Angus Thom.

Q. That cheque was marked good ?— A. That I don't know

Q. Yes, you do.—A. Excuse me.

Q. It was accepted by the bank ?—A. I am not aware that it was.

Q. If Mr. Robitaille says it was, will you deny it?—A. No; I will believe anything that he says.

Q. Including that ?—A. Yes

Q. Do you remember what bank it was on ?—A. I think La Banque Nationale.

Q. And whose cheque was it?—A. J. C. Langelier's. Q. That is Mr. Chrysostome Langelier?—A. Yes.

- Q. And the cheque was taken there by Mr. Thom, \$24,000 was it not.—A. Yes, \$24,000.
 - Q. That was on the 28th of April?—A. I think so; I am not sure of the date. Q. It was not to be cashed until the 1st of May?—A. That I could not say.

Q. Think now.—A. I don't remember that.

Q. If Mr. Robitaille says that was the arrangement, will you deny it —A. As I said before, I will deny nothing that he says. My impression is that if it was an accepted cheque it was liable to be cashed at any moment.

Q. The \$24,000 being paid to Mr. Robitaille was part of \$75,000 to be paid to the

old company—the old stockholders ?—A. Yes; paid to the shareholders.

- Q. The shareholders being Mr. Robitaille, whom I have mentioned, his brother, what was his name?—A. L. A. Robitaille.
 - Q. Mr. Riopel—that is all, I think?—A. There were several other shareholders.

Q. The shareholders were to get \$75,000 \(-A. \) Yes.

- Q. Mr. Robitaille was to get \$24,000?—A. That was a matter between the shareholders.
 - Q. The cheque that that was taken to Mr. Robitaille was for his share!—Yes.
 - Q. That cheque was payable to the order of Charles N. Armstrong?—A. Yes, sir. Q. In the room you endorsed it?—A. I did endorse it, but I don't remember where.