

ing loans to an individual borrower; to increase from \$20,000,000 to \$25,000,000 the limit of the Minister's total liability for guaranteed loans during the period ending June 30, 1974; and to extend the application of the Act from July 1, 1974 to June 30, 1977 and to limit the Minister's total liability during that period for loans by banks to \$60,000,000 and for loans by other eligible lenders to \$10,000,000.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following five Questions were made Orders of the House for Returns:

No. 147—*Mr. Marshall*

1. How many CWA/WVA cases are handled by the district authority in each province and what amount of money is disbursed in each province?

2. How many people are on the staffs of the various district authority offices administering CWA/WVA in each of the ten provinces?

3. What criteria are used to provide staff to the various district authority offices which administer CWA/WVA accounts?—Sessional Paper No. 292-2/147.

No. 218—*Mr. Forrestall*

1. Since the inception of the Federal Labour Intensive Programme (FLIP) in each year of operation including the fiscal year 1973-1974, what has been the breakdown in funding (a) by federal department participating (b) by province (c) by identifiable project funded (d) by year?

2. For each department and identifiable project, what has been the number of jobs created or sustained through this Programme?

3. What are the broad criteria for acceptance of projects, do these differ by department and, if so, in what respect?

4. What has been the deadline for submission of proposals and, for each year, what has been the date of commencement and termination of this Programme?—Sessional Paper No. 292-2/218.

No. 264—*Mr. Nystrom*

1. What were the amounts of money spent by the Department of Transport on publicity and/or information in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 and 1973-74 to date?

2. What were the names and addresses of firms and individuals who received these contracts, what amounts of money were spent in each case and what was the purpose of each contract?

3. In the case of expenditures for publicity and/or information made within the Department by its publicity or information division, what was the amount in each case and the purpose of the expenditure?—Sessional Paper No. 292-2/264.

No. 270—*Mr. Nystrom*

1. What was the total amount of money spent in each of the fiscal years 1972-73 and 1973-74 to date by Central Mortgage and Housing Corporation on contracts to outside persons and organizations for research, development and other consulting services?

2. What are the names and addresses of these outside persons and organizations and what amounts of money were involved in each contract?

3. What was the purpose of each contract and title of each report submitted?—Sessional Paper No. 292-2/270.

No. 342—*Mr. Clark (Rocky Mountain)*

1. With what company or companies has the government contracts for food services (a) on Air Canada flights (b) at DOT airports?

2. (a) What is the duration of each contract (b) on what date is each contract up for renewal (c) which contracts are awarded by (i) tendering (ii) other means (d) what is the means of award in each case where there is not tendering?—Sessional Paper No. 292-2/342.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

The Order being read for the second reading and reference to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development of Bill C-9, An Act to amend the Yukon Act, the Northwest Territories Act and the Canada Elections Act;

Mr. Chrétien, seconded by Mr. MacEachen, moved,—That the said Bill be now read a second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said motion, it was agreed to.

Accordingly, the said Bill was read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development.

[At 5.00 o'clock p.m., Private Members' Business was called pursuant to Standing Order 15(4)]

(Notices of Motions)

Mr. Stackhouse, seconded by Mr. Arrol, moved,—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should act, by legislative or other means, to take over immediate and direct control of the so-called Rochdale College building in Toronto, and thereupon evict the present occupants and arrange for a socially-constructive use of the building.—(Notice of Motion No. 1).

And debate arising thereon;

The hour for Private Members' Business expired.

The Order being read for the second reading and reference to the Standing Committee on Indian Affairs and Northern Development of Bill C-11, An Act to amend the British North America Acts, 1867 to 1965;