## CANADA LIFE ASSURANGE CO'\%.

 Hoad Offics: EAMLION, Ontario.A. Q. RAMSAY,
Pres, and Managing Divector.
R. HILLSS,

35th Year ending 30th April, 1882.
Assets.............................. $\$ .5,064 ; 206$.
Income
1,008,164
New Assurances..
4,133,365
Total Amount in Borce.................. $27,4,9,725$
DEATH CLAIMS
N. B-LOSSES EXPEOTYD ANO

PROVIDED FOR WERE......
233,491
362,858
Policies two years in force are non-forfeitable aud indisputable.

Death claims paid immediately on proof wirtour DISCOUN'I.
provinge of quebec brandi:
180 St. James Street, - . Montreal.
J. W. MARLING, P. LaFERRIERE,

JAMES AKIN, Montreal District Agent.
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PROFIT AND LOSS OF AMERICAN INSURANCE.
Bradstreet's has compiled some interesting statistics, making a summary of fire and fire-marine experience of the several classes of companies, Mutuals excepted, doing business openly in and reporting to the state of New York for three years and a half past, the amounts all being stated in thousands, and the third line, being included in the fourth, being omitted in the footings:-


The first half of each table, as will be, under stood; includes interest and miscellaneous receipts on one side, and dividendes with
other outgoes on the other; the other hall contrasis premiums only with outgoes less dividends. The first line given for 1881 is for the first hall of that year ; the second 1881 covers the entire yean; the line for 1882, of course, cove's onlyothe dirst half year. In several instances it will be seen that the insurance business alone left a better remainder in the shape of incomes above expenditures than did the entire business; this was occasioned by the dividend payments for the particular year, which footed up more than the receipts from interest and miscellaneous sources. Table 4 , covering American companies, is simply the combination of tables 2 and 3 . If any trader desires to compare the aggregrated experience of the three classes for the fortytwo months, we find that the companies of the state of New York saved over $\$ 1,006,000$ from their total income of $\$ 81,226,000_{2}$ and $\$ 1,675,000$ from their insurance incone of $\$ 72,249,000$, these savings being 1.28 and 2.32 per cent. respectively; the other-states companies saved $\$ 2,275,000$ out of $\$ 95,102,-$ 000 income, and $\$ 4,619,000$ out of $\$ 83,524,-$ 000 of insurance premiums, being 2.38 and 5.41 per cent. yespectively: both sets of American companies combined saved $\$ 3$,282,000 out of $\$ 176,268,000$ gross income, and $\$ 6,294,000$ out of $\$ 155,773,000$ of insurance premiums, being 1.86 and 4.04 per cent. respectively; the foreign companies saved $\$ 7,002,000$ out of $\$ 62,821,000$ gross income, and $\$ 3,569,000$ out of $\$ 58,387,000$ of insurance premiums, being 1114 and 6.11 per cent. respectively. Combining all three, we have $\$ 10,284,000$ saved out of $\$ 289,149$,000 of gross receipts, and $\$ 9,863,000$ out of $\$ 215,160,000$ of insurance premiums, being 4.30 and 4.56 per cent. respectively. Orto write it down in a little clearer contrastin the lorty-two months there was saved over:

objects proposed by these two memorials will, if adopled, ciedech forperity which will be felt from the Atlantic yestward throughout. We donot now enterinto the statistics furnished by these two important papers. Those who care to stady them have here anopportmity to do so We elimit the statistical point just now to the fact that we have already spent forty milions of dollars in the construction of our ganalsystem, and that we are now substantially con fronted with the alternative of throwing away this gigantic sum or of utilising the expen diture more thoroughly than before How we hare reached this couclusion our hormer comments will show, but they are, however, selfapparent. The other matter, that of the Montreal Harbor and the deepening and maintenance of the chamel, ocoupies a very different position. All theefhoweyerthat is, the above two with the canglsystem added-are essential to the free development. of our navigation progress and of our North: Westem prosperity. The fact should never be lost sight of, that although the Geilerat Government has sanctioned the eiformous expenditure of forty millions of dollars: on our canals it has not, since Confederatign was entered upon, disbursedione cention water improvements below thas citytur And while not insensible for such ninheip cessions as it has made in mespect tayour Harbor the present crisis shonws thate the time has arrived when it has become the national duty of the Government to don a great deal more. ${ }^{\circ}$ If we wouk succed w the rivalry thrust upon us by the elafe vote of the New York electorate me must be prepared to still further deepen the channelp to remove all local rates, and minke of Mom real in fact, not in name only really fres port. To do this is on the fage of itialto gether beyond the power of shytocal body Only the National Treasury coud steceess fully cope with the task, and if is as mueh its duty as it is within its abilhty to do so. The object of the New Youk" policy is to caryy all the freight trade righing the open season, to an American port modurs should be, to divert it into and throtrgh Canadian' waters only. Each aim is perfectly legitimate, but if Canada is true toferself, and. equal to meet the emergency with the necessary promptitude, the American policy cannot fail to be beaten, as it will be over matched in a thoronghly mequal contest.

The Richerinu and Ontamio 0o. The meeting of the directors of the Richelieu and Ontario Navigation Oo this week was an important one in some respects. After deciding on the dividends, the question of leasing the boats to the North Shore Company came up, and, it is said, it as resolv. ed to give that Company the privilege of purchasing the assets of the Richelieu Com pany within one year on a basis of $\$ 4,500$; 000 or renting the botats at about $\$ 75 ; 000$ perannum. Aany other rutrursin cois nection with othis Company are carrent, butw lack confirmation.

## FREE NAVIGATION.

We publish elsewhere two memorials to the Governor-General on the subject of free narigation all along the Canadian inland route, from the Gulf of St. Lawrence to the head of Lake Superior. These come respectively from the Montreal Board of Trade and our local Harbor Commissioners. The first takes the same ground. which this journal assumed a fortnight ago, but is nevertheless well worth renewed attention. The memorial of the Harbor Commissioners addresses itself to the subject of the Channel debt, and will meet general acceptation here as a fair statement of the case We trust, too, that it will receive general concurrence throughout the Dominion, Ior the smallest refleotion wall show that this is mo mere local or selfish question." It is, on the contrary, an essentially national one, for the

