and May, A.D., 1893, in the town of Strathroy, in the Councy of Middlesex; in the city of London, in the County of Middlesex; in the city of Brantford in the County of Brant; in the town of Ingersoll, County of Oxford; and other towns and cities throughout Ontario, by means of large, brilliantly-colored posters and placards, posted in prominent places in hotels and in other public places in the said towns and cities, which posters contained the statements set forth in Exhibit "A," hereunto annexed, which is a copy of the written portion thereof, whereby the said John Robert McCullough endeavored to impose upon the credulity of the public, for the purposes of gain, by artempting to leceive such persons as might read the said advertisements and particularly those suffering from any disease or ailment into the belief that an opportunity would be afforded them to consult, free of charge, two physicians, surgeons and specialists having an established reputation both in Europe and America, whereas, in fact, no such persons were to be found at the places indicated by the said advertisements, or were in any way connected with the said McCullough. and all persons who went to the place named in the said advertisement, for the purpose of consulting such physicians, surgeons and specialists, were met only by the said McCullough, who was not a physician of reputition, as stated in his advertisement, and if there was any such person named Grant in any way connected with the said McCullough, such person was not a physician or surgeon entitled to practise within the Province of Ontario,

6. That the said John Robert McCullough has been guilty of infamous or disgraceful conduct, in a professional respect, the particulars of which are as follows, that is to say: By advertising, from time to time, during the months of January, February, March, April and May, A.D., 1893, in the town of Strathroy, in the County of Middlesex; in the town of Ingersoll, in the County of Oxford; in the city of Woodstock, in the County of Oxford; in the town of Brantford, in the County of Brant: in the city of London, in the County of Middlesex; and other towns and cities throughout Ontario, by means of brilliantly-colored posters and placards, posted in prominent places in hotels and n other public places, in the said towns and cities, w. In posters contained the statements set forth in Exhibit "B," hereunto annexed, which is a copy of the written portion thereof, whereby the said John Robert McCullough endeavored to impose upon the credulity of the public, for the purposes of gain, by attempting to deceive such persons as might read the said advertisement and particularly those suffering from any disease or ailment into the belief that an opportunity would be offered to them to consult, free of charge, with two physicians, surgeons and specialists having an established reputation both in Europe and America, whereas, in fact, no such persons were to be found at the placed indicated by the said advertisement, or were in any way connected with the said McCullough, and all persons who went to the place named in the said advertisement, for the purpose of consulting physicians, surgeons and specialists were met only by the said McCullough, who was not a physician of reputation, as stated in his advertisement, and if there was any person named Grant in any way connected with the said McCullough, such person was not a physician or surgeon entitled to practise within the Province of Ontario.

7. That the said John Robert McCullough has been guilty of infamous or disgraceful conduct in a profes sional respect, the particulars of which are as follows. That is to say, by advertising from time to time during the months of January, February, March. Apr.) and May, A.D. 1893, in the city of London, in the County of Middlesex; in the town of Strathroy, in the County of Middlesex; in the city of Brantford, m the County of Brant; in the town of St. Mary's, in the County of Perth; in the town of Ingersoll, in the County of Oxford; in the town of Woodstock, in the County of Oxford, and in other towns and cities throughout Ontario, by means of posters distributed as handbills in the streets of the said cities and towns and delivered to private residences therein, a copy of which said posters is hereunto arriexed and marked Exhibit "C," whereby the said John Robert Mc Culough endeavored to impose upon the credulity of the public for the purposes of gain, by attempting to deceive such persons as might read the said advertise ments, and particularly those suffering from any disease or ailment, into the belief that an opportunity would be afforded to them to consult, free of charge. with two physicians, regeons and specialists having an established reparation both in Europe and America, whereas, in fact, no such persons were to be found at the places indicated by the said advertise ments or were in any way connected with the said McCullough, and all persons who went to the place named in the said advertisement for consulting with physicians, surgeons and specialists were met only by the said McCullough, who was not a physician of reputation as stated in his advertisement, and if there was any person named Grant, in any way connected with the said McCullough, such person was not a physician or surgeon entitled to practise within the Province of Ontario.

S. And repeating all the allegations in the next preceding paragraph hereof with regard to the posters therein referred to, it is further charged that the said McCullough was guilty of infamous or disgraceful conduct in a professional respect in the same manner as therein alleged, with respect to certain posters identical therewith, except that the name of Dr. Grant does not appear therein, and all the statements made in said posters with regard to the said Drs. McCullough and Grant are repeated in the posters herein referred to with regard to Dr. McCullough alone, and the posters and handbills herein referred to were used and distributed by the said McCullough in the town of Dundas, in the county of Brant, and in the town of Dundas, in the county of Wentworth.

As to charge 9, which alleges "That the said John Robert McCullough has been guilty of infamous or disgraceful conduct in a professional respect, the particulars of which are as follows: That is to say, by printing on the back of the said posters or handbills, in the preceding charges referred to, alleged copies of testimonials from patients, stating that they had been cured by the said McCullough, whereas, in fact, no such cures had been made by him as alleged."

Your committee beg to report that no evidence was tendered in support of this charge.

As to charge 10, which alleges "That the said John Robert McCullough has been guilty of infamous or disgraceful conduct in a professional respect, the particulars of which are as follows: That is to say, by