

T H E

# LEGAL NEWS.

---

---

VOL. XV.

JUNE 16, 1892.

No. 12.

---

---

In *Jetté & Crevier* (Montreal, June 8) the Court of Appeal, by a unanimous decision, settled a question of considerable importance, which has been variously decided by judges of the lower Courts. The question was whether Art. 2250, C.C., which declares that, with the exception of what is due to the Crown, all arrears of interest are prescribed by five years, includes also interest on a judgment. The Court of Review, Montreal, Loranger, Wurtele, and Davidson, JJ., unanimously held in the affirmative (M. L. R., 6 S. C. 48), and this decision has been unanimously affirmed in appeal. The judgment in both Courts rested upon the terms of Art. 2250. Mr. Justice Bossé, who pronounced the judgment of the Court of Appeal, observed : "L'on ne saurait guère trouver de langage plus précis et plus complet pour exprimer l'idée que dès qu'il s'agit d'intérêts, quelqu'en soit la provenance ou l'origine, ils sont tous également frappés de la prescription uniforme de cinq années."

---

Another question which has created a good deal of difficulty in the Superior Court for some years past, is the award of costs in actions where the plaintiff succeeds for only a portion of the amount demanded. The practice for many years was simply to award costs as of the amount of the judgment, unless the defendant had made