

votes are counted. Be certain that every vote cast for McDougall is counted.—See sec. 55.

(5.) Obtain a certificate from the Deputy Returning officer of your polling place, of the number of cast for each candidate, and of the number of rejected ballot papers. You are entitled to receive it by sec. 58.

In conclusion, Mr. McDougall and your own interests expect that each one of you "will do your duty" on the 5th inst.

---

### CORRESPONDENCE.

To the Editor Algoma Review.

SIR.—I see in an editorial in the Pioneer of the 29th inst, the Editor says in reference to the Hon. William McDougall, "This wonderful eloquent man has never represented any constituency twice." Now, Sir, this is false. When I was a resident in the county of North Oxford the Hon. Mr. McDougall was elected twice for the same county, and each time by a good majority. The first time he was returned he was opposed by the Hon. J. C. Morrison, late Judge, and the second time he was opposed by Sir Isaac Buchanan.

Yours, truly,

GEORGE ALDERSON.

Sault, June 30th, '82.

To the Editor of the Review.

DEAR SIR.—I have been waiting to see some one at the Sault submit the following questions to Mr. S. J. Dawson, and in order that he may have a chance of answering them be-

fore the day of election, I take the liberty of asking them myself.

1. Why was the North Shore Mail contract, after it had been awarded to Wm. Turner, taken from him and given to Mr. Plummer, whose tender was \$12 higher for each trip? It is no wonder Plummer is so anxious that Dawson should be elected. Self is the first and last, the all in all of these latter Gentlemen.

2. Why did he appoint a man for Little Current, a census enumerator, who could neither read or write; and to rub in the insult to his constituents, have same person appointed light house keeper on Strawberry Island?

3. How is it that there is no polling place in the vicinity of Desert Lake? Perhaps he has an interest in keeping them at home, no doubt they would remember his broken pledges.

4. How comes it that a polling place was not provided for the settlers on the mountain range of St. Joseph's Island? Was it because they have too much stake in the election, and he was afraid of their influence?

5. What reason can he assign for not having the polling place continued at Batchewana? Perhaps he considers the Ma Mainse miners have no right to use their franchise.

6. Can he give a reason why the grant of \$500 promised from the Indian Department, and of which he took the credit of procuring, was afterwards withdrawn from the road leading from Bear Lake to Echo River, through Indian lands? If his George Ley sort of friends had been holding large tracts of land on that line it would have been carried out, but the hardy settlers must not have such luxuries as roads. Such are Mr. Dawson's views.

7. Why does he contend that the redistribution bill for the increasing of members to Parliament is, on the whole, a very good measure, especially as it leaves Algoma out in the cold for another 10 years? He certainly ought to have contended for the rights of his constituents, and demanded one more member at least for the District—but this would not have answered Mr. Dawson and his friends.

8. How came it when the Sault Ste. Marie Railway bill was being discussed in the House, that Dawson, with other objections he was urging, stated that it was impossible to construct a line

along the South Shore of Lake Superior, that it would have to be carried back from the coast from 75 to 100 miles, thus creating a bad impression among the members as to the Sault Railway and in a measure the means of its defeat? But this is in keeping with his love for Algoma, or rather for its benefit.

9. Why did he speak and vote against the Railway bill for the construction of a line from Prince Arthur's Landing to Duluth? Ah! his special friends can best answer this.

I remain, Mr. Editor,

Respectfully yours;

CONSERVATIVE.

Bruce Mines, June 27th.

Editor Algoma Review.

DEAR SIR.—It is with much pleasure I receive the above named paper, it being the first independent paper ever issued in this section of the District. And I as a Liberal Conservative feel proud that we have got into the field a thorough independent man. But had it not been for some slips of paper scattered round the Sault, and containing an extract from the Globe in reference to Mr. McDougall, I should not have rushed into print. But what does the Globe say? "Mr. Dawson would serve any Government in power." Not so with McDougall, neither can buy him.

Mr. McDougall's politics are measures not party. There are far too many voting machines like Dawson, whose country is a secondary consideration, and it is high time the electors of this district asserted their right and let Dawson know they are living in a free country and that they have a voice in this matter; that it is for them to say whether they will be represented by a man who has your interest at heart, or by the one they have had the last term and has shown himself to be unworthy of their confidence. Vote for McDougall.

Yours, &c.,

ALGOMA.

Sault, June 29th, '82