THE CATHOLIC RECORD RICHMOND LONDON, ONTARIO, THOS. COPPEY, M. A., LL.D., EDITOR

GENERAL AGENTS: Mesars. Donat Crowe and Luke King. O'ITAWA AGENCY: 2. J. Coffey, General Agent, 74 George St. RATES PER ANNUE.—One Copy, \$2.00; iye Copies, \$7.50; Ten copies, \$15.00. Payble in every case in advance.
Rates of Advertising — Ten cents per line Approved by the Bishop of London, and commanded by the Archbishop of St. Bishops of Ottawa, Hamilton, and Peterboro, and leading Cathicology men throughout the Dominion. All correspondence addressed to the Pubbe stopped.

writing for a change of address variably send us the name of their

## Catholic Record.

London, Sat., Oct. 1st, 1887.

THE EXALTATION OF THE CROSS.

The feast of the Exaltation of the Cross celebrated by the Church on the 14th of September, is of very early institution. In the reign of the Eastern Emperor. Heraclius, it was celebrated with special devotion, owing to the recovery of the true Cross on which our divine Saviour suffered, from the hands of the heathens this being the second occasion of such recovery. The first recovery occurred in the reign of Constantine the Great, A. D. 326. It was effected by the Empress Helena, Constantine's mother. The heathens, in order to conceal the place of Christ's crucifixion, had erected on the spot a heathen temple, but the zeal of the empress could not be baffled; and after overthrowing the pagan temple, the cross was discovered, and placed in honor in Jerusalem, where it remained, venerated by the faithful for nearly three hundred years. In 614 the Persian king, Chosroes II., captured Jerusalem, destroyed the of the Holy Sepulchre, which had been erected by St. Helena for the reception of the cross, and carried away the holy Cross itself into Persia, together with Zacharias, the Patriarch of Jerusalem.

For some years the Persian king had uninterrupted success, but after several unsuccessful attempts to make an honorable peace, Heraclius in 622 declared war against Chosroes, defeated his generals in three successive expeditions, and completely broke the Persian monarch's power. Chosroes was soon after murdered by his own son Sirves, in A. D. 628, who assumed the government and made peace with Heraclius, one of the conditions being the restoration of the Cross. The Cross was then borne in triumph to Constantinople, and in the following year, 629, it was brought to Jerusalem. Heraclius desired himself to carry the treasure to its shrine on Calvary, and adorned as he was with golden ornaments and jewels, he bore it upon his shoulders. Arriving at the gate which leads to Mount Calvary, he was detained by an invisible power, and he was unable to do so, until the patriarch to traverse the same path over which that Lord clothed in the garments of poverty and crowned with thorns. It was not becoming, therefore, that the Emperor should proceed on that path clad in his imperial robes, and wearing his golden crown Upon this, the plous king divested himsel of his splendid attire, and walking bare foot with the cross upon his shoulders, he found no difficulty in completing his journey, and the cross was replaced upon the spot whence it had been carried away by the Persians. Sigebert in his Chronicles relates that this restoration of the cross was accompanied by many other miraculous events, and testimonies are numerous which prove that it was in memory of these miracles that the feast of the exaltation of the cross was celebrated from that time with special devotion.

There is, however, much earlier evidence of its celebration. Eustathius in his life | Christ voucheafed to appear to him in a was observed in the time of that Patriarch, A. D 582, and in the Acts of the Egyptian penitent Mary, who died A. D. 421, it is also recognized as an established feast. It is, therefore, clear that devotion to the Cross of Christ dates from the earliest period of the history of the Church.

The detestation with which most Pro testants regard the cross is to practical Christians most unaccountable. When it was supposed that there was some intention to introduce a crucifix into the courthouses of Quebec, in presence of which oath should be taken, a cry of indignation was raised from one end of that Province to the other, that Romish superstition was being forced upon the Protestant minority! This cry was repeated by numbers of the rectarian organs of Ontario, notably by the Christian Guardian; and the Orange lodges passed fiery resolutions against the "encroachments of the Cross of Christ" in the sister province. has been blotted out forever.

All this is thoroughly in accord with the of Protestantism. It is not many egged's crucifix through the mire of the streets previously to committing it to the flames on a bonfire, as a demonstration against "Popery," and frequently, in Scotland, similar scenes have been enacted. This was especially the case when, at the instigation of John Knox and his coborers in the work of destruction, the renerable Cathedrals and Abbeys of that The Globe reporter adds that "His denunountry were destroyed :-

"The reverend pile lay wild and waste, Profened, dishonored, and defaced, Through storied lattices no more in softened light the sunbeams pour, Gilding the Gothic sculpture rich. If shrine, and monument, and niche, The civil fury of the time Made sport of sacrilegious crime, For dark fanadisizar rent. lade sport of sacrilegious crime, for dails fanaticism rent less, and screen and ornament."

All this was the natural conseque

the teaching of the Westminster divines who framed the Confession of Faith, that scentives to devotion used by Catholics, such as crosses, and all sacred images and emblems. The celebrated Claude styled all "enemies of Christ" who venerated the ross. The Institutes of Calvin equally each that the honer which Catholics show o the cross is anti-Christian and supersti ious, and the Magdeburg Centuriators say that it was "unknown until the fourt century." Cardinal Bellarmine, whose ogic is always unanswerable, and whose style is characterized by straightforward ness, says this is "an impudent lie." Tertullian in the second century attests the constant use of the sign of the cross among Christians for the sanctifica tion of every action : "We sign ourselves with the sign of the cross in the forehead, whenever we go from home or return when we put on our clothes or our shoes when we go to the bath or sit at table when we light our candles, when we lie down and when we sit, and when we enter into conversation." St. Justin Martyr, when asked by pagans why Chris tians turn to the East to pray, answered : "The best things are to be given to God : and this is also the reason why in making the sign of the cross we use the right hand rather than the left." Later, Pope Cornelius, in the third century, said "Novatian was taken possession of by the devil because he had not received on his fore head the sign of Christ." St. Cyril says "Let us not be schamed of the cross of Christ: but if any one else conceal it, make openly the sign of the cross on your forehead. Make this sign when you eat or drink, when you sit down or stand, when you speak or take a walk, and in the midst of every occupa-

Christians made constant use of the sign of the cross, as Catholics do to-day. They paid to the figure of the cross a relative respect and veneration : that is to say, the wood or metal of which the cross is made was not the object of that respect, but Christ who suffered on the original cross. The veneration shown to the cross is intended for and referred to Him. In this same sense St. Paul glories in the cross, because it is the symbol of our Redempthough he made many efforts to proceed | tion, accomplished by Christ's death on the Cross: "But God forbid that I Tacharias advised him that he was about should glory, save in the cross of our Lord Jesus Christ, by whom the world is crucisame cross had been borne by our divine fied to me, and I to the world." Gal. vi. 14.

> We have shown that the celebration of the feast of the Exaltation of the Cross was established before the reign of Herawas originally instituted in memory of a cross which miraculously appeared to the Emperor Constantine and his whole army, just before his great victory over Licinus This cross appeared in the heavens a little after mid-day, and on it was the inscription in Greek :

"IN THIS CONQUER."

Eusebius, the great historian, who was an intimate friend of Constantine, relates this event with great detail, and declare that the information was given to him by Constantine himself. Constantine was not at this time a Christian, nevertheless of the Patriarch Eutychius relates that it vision the following night, and commanded him to have a standard made in the form of the cross which had appeared in the heavens, and to make it the imperial standard, promising at the same time that with this standard of victory he should triumph over his enemies. This is the origin of the form which is to this day used for standards. The promise made by God was fully kept, as the victorious career of Constantine will amply prove.

The Cross is the emblem of Christianity. It is the most suggestive simple part of the entire scene of the Redemption of mankind, and is therefore most appropriately considered the symbol of Christian faith and hope. The sign of the Cross, besides all this, is a brief profession of Christian doctrine. When we use it we Christian doctrine. When we use it we declare our firm adhesion to the principal mysteries of religion. It is therefore a most appropriate action to remind us that Popery," and threatened the Province of we are children of Christ, purchased by bec withinvasion, to enforce respect for his blood, and that thereby the "handthe religious principles of the "enemies of writing of the decree which was against us"

'DIVERS WEIGHTS AND DIVERS MEASTIRES "

Sam Small lectured on "the Men 1920" on Wednesday evening, the 21st inst., in Carleton St. Methodist Church, Toronto. Regarding the Knights of Labor he said :

"It was a bad day when the regulation of wages fell under the tyranny of the Knights of Labor and Trades Unions." ciations of the Knights of Labor were of the fercest and most uncompromising kind. At the close of the lecture a young man who had been taking notes industriously rose and asked Mr. Small if he had ever read the constitution of the Knights of Labor, and if he knew that it was founded on the Golden Rule, Mr. Small replied that he had read it and considered it very carefully, and was understood to say further that he condemned the the "monuments of idolatry should be Knights because they approved of destroyed," meaning thereby the sensible strikes."

The meeting returned a vote of thanks to the lecturer. How different would have been the reception of such sentiments if they had been uttered by a Cath-

It was but a short time since the Pope was fiercely denounced as the enemy of workingmen merely on the supposition, which afterwards was found to be baseless, that this society was formally condemned by him. This is the kind of fair play that many Protestants are disposed to show when the Pope is concerned.

CHURCH AND STATE.

The proceedings of the late Catholic Congress at Treves seem to disturb some our non Catholic contemporaries. The affirmance of the supremacy of the spiritual over the temporal order is pecially signalized as offensive, opposed o the spirit of the age, and repugnant to numan rights. At this expression of riew we cannot be, and are not, surprised. This is an old claim recited from time to ime, under new forms, but the same claim that has been again and again advanced in the course of the world's nistory. The denial of the supremacy of spirituals over temporals involves a nial of God Himself, for it is really a negation of the subordination of the natural o the supernatural order. The church as the Rev. Dr. Brann lately pointed out in the American Catholic Quarterly Review, is a spiritual society composed of men, that is, of beings, having bodies as well as souls, and therefore requiring the use of temporal as well as of spiritual means to attain the end for whichit was established. "The church." he proceeds. the continuation of the mystery of the Incarnation, a mystery which means the personal union of two natures, the one uman, consisting of a human soul and a numan body, the other divine, in one divine person,—the common centre of imputability. As the human body of Christ required temporal things and used them, so does the body of the Church require and use them in the carrying out of her divine mission : and to all such temporal things as are necessary or use- ber of restless, intellectual and determined political power should gainsay." Dr. Brann then says : "The Pope as head of the Church has all the rights which the they affected to make the Church has. He is her infallible mouth. piece in matters of faith and morals. He is the supreme law-giver, guide, governor and ruler in all matters of faith, morals, and discipline. He has the fullclius. It dates back to A. D. 335, and it ness of apostolic power. He is the supreme executive and judge in all matters affecting the conscience or the welfare of the members of the Church There is no limit to his jurisdiction, save what has been put by Christ Himself, whose vicar and vice gerent on earth he s." This is the same position taken, the same doctrine advocated, but in other terms, by the Catholic Congress Treves. That representative body of Berman Catholics feels the injuries o tate interference with the free exercise of their conscientious rights. The Kulturkampf was simply a revival or rather new effort of the spirit of nationalism which had produced the Protestant reformation and the French revolution both movements directly aimed at the abolition of the Papal power, and express ions of the sentiments animating the philosophers, philanthropists and neo-pagans who place the nation before the church, the state before God, and refuse to subor dinate the natural to the supernatural order, where they do not positively deny the existence of the supernatural

> wrote: "Every nation is by its own national its peculiar national religion, and every one was required to conform to the re-ligion of his nation. Nationalism, through ingon of his nation. Nationalism, through the influence of the Church, the kings and emperors of the Carlovingian race, during the centuries commonly called the 'Dark Ages,'—so called because religion took precedence of politics, and Catholicity of nationalism,—was kept

altogether. Of this nationalism, strong

and aggressive to-day, Brownson in 1855

nbordinate, and was unable to exert ny controlling influence on politics or sligion. But as the irruption of bar-arians ceased, and the nationalities ong held in abeyance began to declare hemselves, and national governments fore formed throughout most of Europe, t escaped from its subjection, and be ame in some sense, as it had not been before, the basis of the political order." The German Chancellor, who had

ranquished Austria and France, and raised Prussia to the foremost place

mong the military and political tries of the world, resolved, at the close of his struggle with France, to nex overcome the Papacy. He appealed to and rested on national pride, national jealousies, prejudices, ambition and in-tolerance for an ultimate triumph in this great combat. He had on the ruins of Austrian predominance in Germany and French predominance in Europe raised an empire whose Kaiser was alone to be worshipped and obeyed within its limits. The empire was to be before the Church in all things and thereby thoroughly nationalized. Whoever refused to ow down before the new idol set up by Prince Bismárck was disloyal, an enemy of the emperor and the empire, and worthy of exile or death. The pleasure of the state became force of law, and this pleasure was to be done, let the church protest as vigorously as she might. But, as if to add insult to injury, the liberty of the church was to be invaded, the sacred authority of her Supreme Head on earth abridged and denied, the rights of her ministry shamelessly outraged in the name of "religious freedom." The Catholic masses of Germany were said to be in a condition of pitiable thraldom from which the enlightened, favored and emancipated non-Catholic body was bound in the interests of Germany, humanity and liberty to free them. The immediate cause of this movement against the Church was, of course, here tical in its origin. Dr. Brownson has well said "that all heresy instinctively make war on the Papacy. All the great heresies which have prevailed began by disre garding the Papacy, or by attempting to deprive the Holy See of the affection due it, or of some of its prerogatives; and ever we meet a disposition to restrict the Papal power, whether in favor of the episcopacy or the Presbytery, the to do wrong," and "that the Church secular authority or the brotherhood, to

be possible to preserve the Church, as a polity, as the visible kingdom of Christ on earth, or the natural supremacy of the moral order in the government of the The old Catholic heresy led by Dollinger, Hohenlohe and others, taking occasion from the definitions of the Vatican Council to manifest its enmity towards the Papacy and its anti-Catholic denial of the rights of the Holy See, was, at its inception, more powerful than its mere numbers would indicate. It embraced a numin valous walks of life. Their attacks liberty from God; it is implied in our on the Papacy, whose Infallibility only butt of their assaults, met with favor in the eyes of the non-Catholic world. They had covertly sought, previous to the meeting of the Vatican council, to excite the nationalistic, royal istic, and Cæsaristic elements of Europe against the Holy See, but their plots were unmasked and all their schemes and devices cleverly forestalled. Upon the conclusion of the Franco-Prussian war they falsely accused the Vatican and the Jesuits of being authors of the

contest, and as such dangerous enemies

Lord founded His Church on Peter and

Peter lives in His successor: Ubi Petrus,

ibi Ecclesia. We cannot conceive how,

without the Papal constitution main

tained in its full right and vigor, it would

of German autonomy and greatness. Had France been victorious, the same tactics would have been pursued to rouse French national hatred against the See of Peter, and there is grave reason to fear that Napoleon III., so long the instrument and tool of the Pope's enemies, would have lent himself to their purposes. Germany having, however on the day, it was to German national pride, prejudice and jealousy the appeal of treason, treachery and heresy had to be made. It found favor in the German Chancelior's eyes. Old Catholic heresy was represented to be in all things but one identical in doctrine, worship and sacraments with the Catholic Church of pre-Vatican Council days. In one respect alone did it differ from the Catholic Church of post-Vatican Council timesnamely, admission of and belief in the doctrine of the Pope's Infallibility. Bismarck could not at the time see that this doctrine is essential to the very being of the Catholic Church, and to the reedom as well as independence of religion. He may not see matters in this light even to-day-but then he was led to believe that the definition of a doctrine. always held in the Church, as the exercise of the Papal authority and prerogative throughout the ages and the acceptance of that exercise by the Universal Church fully demonstrates,

would be made the oc

ous attacks on the free exercise of the civil power. "Hand over the churches, the seminaries and the episcopal sees to the Old Catholics," suggested the ever busy plotting heretical agents, "You deprive not the Catholics of any of the religious services they have once enjoyed, you introduce no obnoxious customs, you abolish no rites dear to them. You simply make the German Catholic more German. and free him from the perilous, evermenacing domination of Rome." counsel the generous Chancellor lent ear. The victor of Sadowa and Sedan thought he could easily overcome the old man of the Vatican. The most powerful political leader of modern times, who had overcome in less than five years two of the most splendid armies of the age, looked on it as absurd to think that an unarmed and dethroned old monarch could overcome him in a struggle for conscientious free-dom. He failed to see that the Papacy is a divine institution, and that as a divine institution it enjoyed divine protection. He had not with profit read the story of the greater man than he, who at the begin ning of the century attempted to reduce the Holy See to a condition of vassalage to his empire. He could vanquish brave and stalwart foes, but he could not intimidate the Vatican. He could drive before him whole armies of foreign foes, but could not force the masses of loyal German Catholics or their pastors into Old Catholic temples, into apostacy, or heresy. The more the Old Catholic sect showed its spirit, the longer it lived, the more odious it became to the brave sons of Fatherland who had taken such prominent part in the assertion of German greatness. The whole attempt has, as we know, ended in com plete failure. But the May laws are not vet fully repealed. The Episcopacy is not free to open seminaries, the religious orders are held in thraldom and the Jesuits yet exiled. As long as any of these abuses and invasions of the Church's liberties are allowed to exist, so long will the faithful Catholics of Germany be justified in proclaiming their belief that the law of God must be obeyed rather than the behests of Casar, that the moral order is a real order, that "it is by its own nature supreme, for neither men or nations have the right in regard to this world was introsuspect it of an heretical tendency. Our duced and constituted to uphold the supremacy of the moral order, and, without her, that order cannot be effectually asserted or upheld. These words we cite from Dr. Brownson, How true the other words he at the same time wrote,—how deeply engraven should they be on every Catholic heart: "Religious liberty, if it means anything, means the freedom and independence of the moral order, its emancipation from materialism, freedom of religion, that is, freedom to worship God and to do in all things what He commands, without let or hin-drance from kings or Kaisers, princes or nobles, sects or parties, nations or individuals. In this sense we claim religious liberty as the indefeasible right of all men. It is our solemn duty to assert it for every man, and to maintain it against all odds for ourselves. We hold this obligation to worship him, and no human power has the right to restrict it, or in any way to intermeddle with it. It is the right of rights, the liberty of liberties, and we can never consent to part with it We will carry it with us in poverty and exile, in the dungeon, to the scaffold of the stake; but surrender it we will not. It is the only thing we can call our own and with it we have all riches, as without it we have nothing. This is the religi ous liberty which makes martyrs and onfessors, and hallows the earth with the blood of the righteous. It is true religious liberty, and the Catholic who will not assert it, and die for it, is a moral coward or a moral traitor,—a Protestant or a Know-Nothing in his heart. As a

THE "ANGLO SAXON."

Catholic, we disown him."

A new paper comes to us from Ottawa The Anglo Saxon, the "official organ of the League of the Rose." Among the political principles which it proposes to dvocate we find :

"The Ascendancy of the British Empire in the Councils of the World,"

This principle the "Anglo-Saxon" may find it difficult to put into practical operation. There are a few countries, at all events, perhaps inconsiderable in the eyes of the "League of the Rose," but which, nevertheless, will have their say in a matter which concerns them so much. What would President Cleveland, the Czar, or even President Grevy say to this?

"Civil and Religious liberty." This principle is very good; but unfortunately the Anglo-Saxon explains that in his understanding of it, Catholics are not to be allowed the liberty of imparting to their children a religious education at all, unless they are contented with the kind of a religion that suit the Anglo Saxon and the "League of the Rose," whatever that is, for another "principle" informs us that there must be "No Separate Schools,"

though at the came time there must be Freedom for the Religious Instruction and Education of children.

In the body of the paper our contemporary proposes to drive the Pope's authority out of Canada, and to "beat him back to the Vatican," and to make it "misdemeanor" for any one to be a esuit, or to become "a regular ecclesiastic, or brother, or member of any such regious order, community or society."

We must only hope that the Pope and the Jesuits may survive the shock of the aseaults of this redoubtable champion!

A NOTHER REVEREND FIRE.

Toronto is not alone in the poof reverend firebrands. A letter appears in the Mail of the 24th inst. igned by "A Protestant Minister" o Duebec in which an appeal is made to Protestants generally to take up arms to prevent that Province from becoming more and more Catholic by the "absorption" of the Protestant population. This

Bombastes Furioso says:

"We have a right to be here; it cost the blood of our fathers. . . . We are not going to get out! Don't you forget it. The pattle has to be fought, and fought now, and those who have the courage of their convictions are thankful beyond measure to have the valuable aid of at least one public journal, and that the best one in Canada." Odzooks! This warrior reminds us of the old metrical version of the psalms by Sternhold and Hopkins, used in the Church of England:

"So I suppress and wound my foes
That they can rise no more
For at my feet they fall down flat,
I strike them all so sore."

Were it not for such ranters, the poor Protestants would not be aware that they are so maltreated a people. It is prepos-terous that the French-Canadians should be allowed to increase.

Among the complaints of this scribe, one is that the Recorder of Quebec will not do justice by punishing those who assaulted the Salvation Army a short time ago. In the same issue of the Mail it is reported in another column that the Recorder fined Wagner, the leader of the assault, "\$40 and costs, or two months in gaol," and that "judgments will also shortly be given in the case of the other parties implicated with Wagner." The Toronto authorities might gain a more enviable notorlety for their city if they learned a lesson in administrative justice from the example of Quebec.

EDITORIAL NOTES.

SIR S. GRATTAN ESMONDE, M. P., and Mr. Arthur O'Connor, M. P., embarked the steamer Arizona on the 25th at Queenstown en route to the United States. They are sent as delegates of the Irish Parliamentary party at the request of the Irish National League of America. A hearty reception will without doubt be accorded these distinguished Irishmer. in all parts of America.

THE Rt. Hon. John Morley, in an address to his constituents at Newcastleon-Tyne, repudiated the report that there was any split between himself and the adhered to the cause of freedom and justice, so long, Mr. Morely declared. he would support him and his views. This, the speaker claimed, was well known in the present unblessed Parliament. "Every-thing," continued he, "has both in the Commons and in Ireland been done to stifle discussion, and the country has returned to a government of old Torvism of the worst form."

THE Roman correspondent of the Liverpool Catholic Times says that various rumors have been circulated as to the reports sent to the Eternal City by the Papal Envoy in Ireland. On this subject nothing authentic is known outside the Vatican, and the statements which have been made by a number of correspondents are the merest conjecture. So far as can be gathered from reliable sources, Mgr. Persico's despatches to Rome testify to the deep impression made upon him by the Irish people's love of faith and fatherland. He cannot find words strong enough to praise the works of faith and charity which have come under his notice, and the healthy and happy influence exercised by the clergy over the faithful. Certain anti-Irish Catholics had, it appears, represented that some of the Irish priests were regularly affiliated members of the Fenian body. This absurd charge has been dissipated for ever by the Envoy. He has found not the elightest trace of the alleged partnership in conspir-

BENZIGER BROTHERS.

The St. Louis branch of the business of Messrs. Benziger Brothers, the Catholic publishers, will be removed from that city to Chicago about the 1st of October. Their store in Chicago will be situated at 178 Monroe street, between La Salle street and Fifth Ave. We wish the firm every success in their new venture. Prosperity usually follows enterprise, integrity, and careful attention to business. All these qualities have in a marked degree characterized this firm, and we are not therefore surprised that they have succeeded in establishing a business of immense proportions in many of the chief cities of the United States.

Specially reported for the CATHOLIC RECOR THE SUPREMACY OF THE POPE

Brilliant Sermon by the Bishop of

On Sunday last His Lordship the Bishop of London preached to an in mense congregation on the "Supremacy of the Pope," with special reference the celebration of the Golden Jubilee Con Hall Enther Lov LII. The follows: Our Holy Father Leo XIII. The following is a synopsis of the sermon. H Lordship began by reading verses 13: to 19th from the 16th chapter of S Mathew's gospel.

Our Blessed Lord came down tropessent to redeem and save us. Man be theaven to redeem and save us. Man he fallen away from the purposes of h creation; he had become a wicked an guilty creature, a rebel against his London and the same and the

and Master. By the original transgression he had closed against himself the gates the heaven—had forfeited the sonship of God and the heirship of heaven—had wrecke the magnificent gifts and privileges a laviably conferred upon him by the bound of his Creator. Our Divine Saviour can to undo these evils—he came to lift up guitty, fallen world, to redeem man an to plant him once more on the plane this immortal destinies. All this effected by the shedding of he blood on the cross. That blood was shed on Calvary, but inundated the world by its saving tid and in principle and potency it washe away the guilt of all ages and nation In addition to its eternal consequence sin in flieted three terrible wounds on the In addition to its eternal consequence sin in flicted three terrible wounds on the spiritual nature of man—it shrouded he intellect in darkness—it weekened as wounded the will, and it corrupted theart. Jesus Christ came to heal thowounds and to repair and build up truins wrought in the human soul. Or Saviour is not only the Redeemer, but is also the restorer of mankind. In His says St. Paul, all things in heaven and cearth have been restored. Man, redeemed and regenerated, has become new creature. Our Saviour brought tilight of truth for the intellect, the strength of grace for the will, and supreme object of love for the heart. Be as He was not always to remain on earth a visible human shape, but was to ascending the strength of the streng the work of human redemption ar restoration must be carried on in the world as long as men existed and sou were to be saved—our Saviour institute His Church, to continue that work in H name, and by His appointment authority, down to the consummation the world. He constituted it the "lig of the world." and "the salt of the earth "the light" for the illumination the human intellect—"the salt" f curing of the wounded so the cleansing of the hea-its preservation from corruption The risen Christ commissioned H Church to be for all time the infallib Church to be for all time the infallib teacher of His revelation and the tresure house of His sacramental grace. The world had become the kingdom Satan—Jesus Christ constituted Hourch as the kingdom of God on eart In this kingdom He appointed tapostles and their iswful successors rulers, judges and teachers, but abothem all He has appointed Peter and lawful successors are usureme visible heads of the Church christ on earth. This supremacy implitude of the church christ on earth. This supreme doctor teacher.

The supremacy of the Roman Pont The supremacy of the Roman Pont

over the Catholic Cnurch, in all that relat trine of our holy religion. What the sis to the solar system, that the Soverel Pontiff is to the Catholic system of beli The Paper is the rock on which is superstructure of Christ's Church rises all the grandeur of its imposing majes in all the grace and beauty and harmoof its heavenly architecture. It is tanahaken foundation on which the Churchy architecture are recovered against the test securely reposes, proof against the terms shock and the upheavings of tearthquake. The Church of Christ is kingdom of God on earth; it must the kingdom of God on earth; it must the fore have a ruler. Every well-regular society must possess a Chief Magistrate preserve it in law and order. Take he away and you reduce society to anare and chaes. We see this fact too willustrated in the religious denomination that have adopted the radical principle private judgment. They are split up it discordant fragments and jarring sects the very force and action of the dising grating and destructive principle wh forms the shifting and sandy foundat on which they have sought to build.

The Church of Christ is a vielble bod it must have a visible head. It is a she fold; it must have a supreme shepherd. vell-regulat

fold; it must have a supreme shepherd guard the sheep and the lambs of Chri flock. In other words, the visible Chu of Christ must have a visible ruler to as Christ's Vicegerent, and to govern to until His second coming.

Even in the Jewish Church there the office of the High Priest, who acted

God's Vicegerent, and was supreme rin in spirituals. Now the Jewish Chu was but the shadow of the Christ Church; the latter, being the reality of the completion of the former, just as the completion of the former, just as many turreted cathedral, with all beauties and glories, is but the realizat and completion of the grand inspidesign sketched by the artist on his parment. It follows, therefore, that in Christian Church there must be an older the third believe to the complete the characteristics. answering to that of the High Priest the old dispensation, and at the same the excelling it, as the new is the better a more perfect dispensation. Now, toffice is evidently none other than that the Sovereign Pontiff, the supreme vis head of the Catholic Church. In a even spart from the divine promises appointment, we find indications of primacy and supremacy of St. P. in holy writ. In many pages the New Testament Peter is alw named before the other Apostlese-first, Simon, who is called Peter," St Matthew L. 2. He is the first confess d his faith in the divinity Christ, the first in the manifestation Christ, the first in the manifestation love, the first of the Aposiles who saw risen Saviour, the first to whom announcement of the resurrection