

Married students' housing plan slows down

University officials say there has been a "slowdown" in construction of married student housing facilities approved last summer by the provincial cabinet.

The housing scheme, originally slated for completion by September, 1966, may be delayed until 1967, Joel Stoneham, the university's housing and food services director, told *The Gateway* Tuesday.

But Mr. Stoneham was not definite about the date. "I'm not really the person to say."

Campus planning director J. R. B. Jones also confirmed there has been a slowdown in the project, to be built on the university farm.

He suggested a "disagreement" about the building's size may be responsible for the delay.

Commenting on rumors surrounding the delay, Provost A. A. Ryan said the only factors causing the delay are a rise in housing costs and the fact "a lot of people keep changing their minds about what they want."

The provincial cabinet late in July gave "approval in principle" to the scheme, originally designed to provide low-cost housing at room rates of \$85 per month per two-bedroom suite.

Officials of the students' wives club say university and government officials may be "passing the

buck." Students' wives formed a committee last year to investigate the need for married student accommodation, and later produced a survey which indicated a definite need for university-sponsored housing in this category.

"We would like to know who is to decide on the specifications for married student housing," Mrs. Dianne Woodman, committee chairman, said Tuesday.

"This is apparently where the disagreement lies. I understood the married student housing subcommittee, of which I am a member, was to meet to discuss specifications in September."

"I have never been notified of

the meeting, and as far as I know, there have never been one. If the subcommittee isn't being called in, then who is planning the housing?"

Late last summer, graduate students conducted a housing survey, and claimed there was a need for accommodation for 200 married graduate students alone. The graduate students' association then recommended the original 200-unit project be doubled to accommodate the needs of both married graduates and undergraduates.

Later, the provincial cabinet decided to allow married undergraduates to join married graduates in the plan.

Students' union president Richard Price supported the graduate students' argument for a 400-unit residence, and said he wanted to see the housing proposal extended to include undergraduates.

At that time he argued the government was taking care of the 500 married students who are better off financially, and ignoring a need for low-cost housing for married undergraduates.

"It's the married undergraduates who so badly need this type of accommodation."

The students' wives club has called for a meeting to discuss the plan. Club officials claim graduate students will not be able to fill a 400-unit building.

The Gateway

VOL. LVI, No. 21, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 10, 1965, EIGHT PAGES



—George Yackulic photo

GELUNDASPRUNG—Limbering up for the ski season is Rick Ryll, president of the U of A ski club. In its second year of operation, the club anticipates a great deal of action during the coming winter.

Golden Bears Italy bound?

Universiade boycott possible because of CUS-CIAU dispute

By BRYAN CAMPBELL
Gateway Sports Editor

The University of Alberta Golden Bear hockey team could very well be Canada's next representatives at the 1966 Winter Universiade February 5-13 in Turin, Italy.

The only trouble is the Golden Bears may never apply and therefore may never be selected.

The team ranks number one in WCIAA standings following twin weekend victories over last year's national collegiate champion Manitoba Bisons. "Manitoba has their backs to the wall," athletic director Ed Zemrau said Tuesday.

The Bears have a perfect 4-0 record in conference play, defeating the University of Saskatchewan Huskies as well.

The reason is simple. Athletic directors across the country are boycotting the CUS sponsored Universiade until differences between CUS and the Canadian Intercollegiate Athletic Union are cleaned up, according to Zemrau.

The dispute between the two bodies started when CIAU refused to sanction CUS participation in the Summer Universiade in Budapest last August. The Physical Fitness Council requested CIAU approval before they would grant the funds required.

In the end they granted the funds without CIAU approval.

At the CUS congress in Lennoxville Quebec in late August student leaders from across the country passed a resolution damning the CIAU for "impeding CUS progress in the Federation Internationale du Sport Universitaire." The federation or FISU is the body which controls the games. They also condemned CIAU for controlling student athletics without consulting students and suggested the body needed "democratization"—at least some student representatives on the Board of Governors.

The resolution was passed unanimously.

From the beginning it seemed the winter games were doomed as the lines of communication between the two bodies broke down.

In a resolution passed by the U of A students' council Oct. 18 a hockey tournament to select the Universiade team was suggested as a path to reconciliation. Dr. M. L. Van Vliet, dean of the faculty of physical education and CIAU executive member took the resolu-

see "Hockey" page three

DIE board may fine student

A fourth-year education student was fined \$5 for failing to appear at a preliminary hearing of the Disciplinary, Interpretation and Enforcement board Tuesday.

The student, charged with acting against the principles of good conduct and the best interests of the student body as a whole, was advised not to appear at the hearing by university provost A. A. Ryan.

The student, who was involved in a liquor raid in the Lister Hall men's residence in October, was charged before the board by Omayal Karmy, ed 4.

Al Karmy said the student was violating the privacy of the students found with beer in the residence.

The hearing was adjourned. The student was summonsed to appear before the board a second time yesterday.

If he attended the hearing, the \$5 fine will be rescinded, Branny Schepanovich, board chairman, indicated Tuesday.

Now that the board has established it has jurisdiction in the matter, the hearing will be carried out whether the student appears or not, he said.