

Ottawa, July 20, 1946.

Samuel Devlin, Esq.,
Indian Agent,
Parry Sound, Ont.

EX'D.

Timber Permits,
Parry Sound Agency.

In your timber report for the period from May 1, 1945, to April 30, 1946, you showed that only three cords of wood had been cut under Permit No. 27 and that only 2,750 ft. of hemlock at \$1.50 per M. had been cut under Permit No. 30.

Included with your Receiver General payments for the period ending June 29th, Sheet No. 9, was a remittance for \$4.04 received from W. J. Pegahmagabow. On your Receipt (No. 12683) you show that this payment is to be credited to Permit No. 30 but you do not show the timber cut but it is assumed that the remittance is in payment of the dues on 2,750 ft. of hemlock as shown in your report.

Also included with the same Return was a remittance for \$12.94 received from Mrs. M. E. Lee and a remittance for \$4.13 received from W. J. Pegahmagabow. According to your Receipt (No. 12689) covering the payment of \$12.94 this remittance is in payment of the dues on timber and fuel-wood as per Permit Nos. 27 and 30. There is nothing on your receipt to indicate how much of this payment is on account of Permit No. 27 and how much is to be credited to Permit No. 30. We have assumed that ninety cents of the remittance is in payment of the three cords of wood cut under Permit No. 27.

According to your Receipt (No. 12695) covering the payment of \$4.13 this remittance is in payment of the dues on hemlock logs cut under Permit No. 30. This remittance for \$4.13, together with the balance of Receipt No. 12689, amounting to \$12.04, makes a total of \$16.17 to be applied on Permit No. 30 but according to your report no timber was cut with the exception of 2,750 ft. of hemlock which we are assuming was paid

for

Indian Affairs. (RG 10, Volume 7820, File 30022-5C)

Poor Copy