

welfare of Canada is inseparably connected, and the method of his expulsion from the administration, no less than the act itself, is eloquent of the veiled antagonism of his late colleagues towards the views he upholds. We believe that the incident will awaken the people of Canada to the fact that the tariff is not safe in the hands of the Laurier administration, and to the un-wisdom of longer committing such a trust to men whose political sympathies are really antagonistic to a policy they merely tolerate."

---

Summarizing the situation, several things are clear:

1. The Conservatives, by formal resolution and by speeches in Parliament, have declared for high protection; for a tariff according to their leader, Mr. R. L. Borden, as high as the United States tariff, which averages over 50 per cent.

2. The Conservative policy is the same as that of the Canadian Manufacturers' Association, whose only purpose is to increase, by means of the tariff, the price of all goods they have to sell.

3. The Liberal policy is directly opposed to the policy of protection. The Conservative papers and politicians recognize this and vilify Messrs. Sifton, Fielding and Fisher and other Ministers who have prominently championed the consumers.

4. Support of the Conservatives means support for a policy that will increase the price of all manufactured goods.

5. Support of the Liberals means support for a policy calculated to make necessities low-priced.

6. No matter what individuals may say, the two parties stand distinctly divided on the tariff: The Liberals for low tariff, the Conservatives for high tariff, and any voter who is interested in tariff matters can therefore have no difficulty in expressing his opinion at the poll.