

As if to remove all excuse for falling into so grave an error, the University Senate have had before them the practice in such matters of the University of London. That distinguished University,—the institution on which the University of Toronto has been modelled,*—wisely spends a very considerable portion of its whole income in providing as Examiners, individuals whose daily pursuits will not conflict with their duty to the University. In this respect, however, the Provincial University has wandered very far, indeed, from its model. As particularly regards the appointment to Examinerships of U. C. College Masters, the following Table, extending over eleven years, will illustrate the practice complained of.

TABLE. (199)

Year.	Name of Examiner.	Subject of University Examination.	Position held by Examiner in U. C. C.
1856	James Brown, B. A.,	Mathematics.	Teacher of Mathematics.
1857	Rev. W. Stennett, M. A. M. Barrett, M. D.	History and Eng. Branches. Chemistry.	Principal of U. C. C. Eng. Master & Lec. on Chemistry and Physiology.
1858	Rev. E. Schluter. Rev. W. Stennett, M. A. James Brown, B. A. M. Barrett, M. D.	Modern Languages. History and Eng. Branches. Mathematics. Chemistry.	Teacher of German Lan. Principal of U. C. C. Teacher of Mathematics. Eng. Master & Lec. on Chemistry and Physiology.
1859	Rev. E. Schluter. W. Wedd, M. A. Henry Haacke.	Modern Languages. Classics. Modern Languages.	Teacher of German Lan. Classical Master. Teacher of German Lan.
1860	W. Wedd, M. A.	Classics.	Classical Master.
1861	G. R. R. Cockburn, M. A.	History and Eng. Branches.	Principal of U. C. C.
1862	M. Barrett, M. D.	Physiology and Com. Anat'y	Eng. Master & Lec. on Physiology and Chemistry.
1863	Rev. E. Schluter.	Modern Languages.	Teacher of Mod. Languages.
1864	Rev. E. Schluter.	Modern Languages.	Teacher of Mod. Languages.
1865	M. Barrett, M. D.	Physiology and Com. Anat'y	Eng. Master & Lec. on Phys.
1866	M. Barrett, M. D.	Do do	Do do

From the above Table it appears that in 1857 three of the Masters of U. C. College were Examiners in the University. By a singular coincidence, in that year, U. C. College had no less than two representatives in the University Senate, viz.: Rev. W. Stennett and Dr. Barrett; and by a coincidence, more singular still, these two representatives in the Senate were also Examiners. It is surely not possible that these gentlemen nominated themselves to Examinerships? It also appears from the Table that, in 1858, no less than four of the Masters of U. C. College were Examiners at the University. The two coincidences above noticed, again, unfortunately meet us here. We are aware that it has been urged that, because Dr. Barrett was also connected at that time with a School of Medicine that, therefore, he ought not to be regarded as the representative of U. C. College. But this is solemn trifling; for U. C. College, no doubt, obtained, by his presence in the Senate, an additional vote where her interests were in question. And thus it happened

* 16 Vic. Cap. 80 § 20.

199 Compiled from the University Examination Papers for the various years.