

Let me say, that had Major R. availed himself of the opportunity of vindicating himself, which Colonel Chichester caused to be afforded him, by an adjournment, the matter must then have been, as it now is, amicably adjusted. Colonel Chichester hoped that the adjournment had been notified to Major Richardson, and that Dr. Lanibton would have done so, but surely no blame can be attached to Colonel C., but personal neglect.

I request that all correspondence on the subject may cease.

Believe me to be.

Your obedient servant,

G. A WETHERALL.

M. Morison, Esq., &c. &c. &c. }  
Rasco's Hotel. }

MONTREAL, 1st June, 8 o'clock, A. M.

SIR,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of last night.

Although strict etiquette might demand, that agreeably to your desire, all further *written* communication on the subject at issue should be closed, I should but indifferently acquit myself of a duty which I owe to all parties, were I not to persevere in my endeavours to procure an amicable adjustment of the affair between Colonel Chichester and Major Richardson. You say that Colonel Chichester, in seconding a proposal for the expulsion of Major Richardson from the San Sebastian Club, was influenced solely by public considerations, and, that the only offence towards Major Richardson with which he could be charged, was personal neglect. True it is, that personal neglect, however severely it may be felt by the party neglected, forms no decided basis for a demand for redress; but this is not the point under consideration. The gravamen of the wrong of which Major Richardson complains, and justly, consists in this; that Colonel Chichester seconded a motion, having for its object an insult to him, Major Richardson, without causing that officer to be duly apprized such purpose was contemplated. True, doubtless, as you state, Colonel Chichester may have moved an adjournment of the meeting of the Club to which your letter makes allusion, but, was it the especial care, as it should have been the paramount duty of Colonel Chichester to see and know, that Major Richardson was placed in a position, by due and official notice of the intentions of the meeting, to avail himself of such adjournment, and offer one word in denial of a false and deliberate charge, which had been brought against him? Certainly not. Colonel Chichester seconded a proposal of gratuitous insult to Major Richardson; gratuitous, (because he had already withdrawn his name from the Club) without affording him the slightest opportunity for an explanation which he might and would have given. Again, it is remarked in your letter of last evening, that "it is preposterous to suppose a man should be held privately accountable for