

McFarlane,	Nugent,	Rompré,	Stanton,
McGee,	O'Hurley,	Sévigny,	Starr,
McGrath,	Pallett,	Small,	Stewart,
McIntosh,	Parizeau,	Smallwood,	Tassé,
McLennan,	Pascoe,	Smith (Lincoln),	Thomas,
Martineau,	Paul,	Smith (Simcoe	Thompson,
Matthews,	Payne,	North),	Tremblay,
Monteith (Perth),	Pearkes,	Smith (Winnipeg	Valade,
Monteith (Verdun),	Pigeon,	North),	Villeneuve,
Montgomery,	Rapp,	Southam,	Walker,
Nasserden,	Régnier,	Speakman,	Webb,
Nowlan,	Ricard,	Spencer,	White—108.

And debate continuing on the main motion,—That Bill C-58, An Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code, be now read a third time and do pass;

A Message was received from the Honourable Mr. Justice Charles Holland Locke, in his capacity as Deputy to His Excellency the Administrator of the Government of Canada, desiring the immediate attendance of the House in the Senate Chamber.

Accordingly, Mr. Speaker with the House went to the Senate Chamber.

And being returned;

Mr. Speaker reported that, when the House did attend the Honourable the Deputy to His Excellency the Administrator of the Government of Canada in the Senate Chamber, His Honour was pleased to give, in Her Majesty's name, the Royal Assent to the following bills:

An Act to incorporate The Evangelical Lutheran Church of Canada.

An Act to amend the Income Tax Act.

An Act respecting the Department of Forestry.

An Act respecting the Franchise of Electors and the Election of Members of the House of Commons.

An Act to amend the Canada Shipping Act.

An Act to amend the Freight Rates Reduction Act.

Debate was resumed on the proposed motion of Mr. Fulton, seconded by Mr. Hees,—That Bill C-58, An Act to amend the Combines Investigation Act and the Criminal Code, be now read a third time and do pass.

Mr. Howard, seconded by Mr. Peters, moved in amendment thereto,—That the bill be not now read a third time but that it be referred back to the Committee of the Whole for the purpose of reconsidering section 32 of clause 13 with a view to deleting subsections (2), (3), (4), and (5) thereof.

After debate thereon, the question being put on the said proposed amendment, it was negatived on the following division: