figures will show how protection robs the American farmer by increasing his expenditure and diminishing his increase:—

heap,

s rob-

onsul,
of his
continue
continu

esent

pro-

874.

g re-

ngle

an

tec-

ınts

are

t a ven

 $\mathbf{ded}$ 

s of

thy

ere.

few

lese

	1860.	1870.	Decrease per cent.
Western wheat flour, per brl	1 50 1 10 24½ 12	\$4 02 1 28 70 \(\frac{1}{2}\) 22\(\frac{1}{2}\) 10\(\frac{1}{2}\) 38c @ 45c 20c @ 24c	24 - 14 - 36 - 10 - 10 - 26 - 24

These prices, I may add, are taken from the United-States Treasury reports. Let us now look at the value of agricultural produce at the two periods, as measured by its purchasing power against manufactured commodities. In the following table is shown what a given quantity of produce could procure, by way of barter, in 1860 and 1870 respectively:—

•	<del>-</del> . •	1860.	1000
	1001 11 614	Would have	1870.
	100 bushels of Western Corn23	brls corn meal	22 brls.
	100 fbs. of bides	the man'fel tohoone	$6\frac{1}{2}$ prs.
	100 business corn 51	hele more nowly	22 lbs. 34 brls.
	100 lbs. Saxony wool	vde cloth	24 vds.
	100 fbs. merino fleece $14\frac{1}{2}$ 100 fbs. common wool $41\frac{1}{3}$	pairs blankets	8½ prs.
	1 001 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	yas, carpet	354 yds.

Instances of this kind might be multiplied ad libitum, but no man of ordinary intelligence will require to be assured that a fiscal system which raises the cost of manufacture larticles and of all imports fifty per cent., for the benefit of a million and a half of people empl yed in mills, mines, and factories, cannot fail to be disastrous to four times that number engaged in the cultivation of the soil, and who annually export produce of the value of \$561,983,286. Indeed, it would be difficult to find terms strong enough to employ in condemnation of so iniquitous a state of things in the United States, or of the conduct of those who advocate and defend similar acts of spoliation in Canada. Three-fourths of the produce of American industry are derived from the cultivation of the soil, and yet all the great interests comprehended under the general head of husbandry have been compelled to witness the confiscation of a large proportion of the fruits of their labour by the most stupendous system of legalised robbery which was ever devised by incrdinate selfishness and established by audacious corruption. "Under high tariffs," observes an American writer, "certain pet interests have been invested with the tape-worm's faculty of feeding upon the vitals of all other industries; and while under this infliction our industry as a whole has suffered, these favoured interests have grown, like the tape-worm, not in self-sustaining vigour, but only in useless length and destructive veracity."

Reviewing the history of agricultural prices in the last half century we find, as might rationally be expected, that the prosperity of the