overcome, they can be sold under their real names. Muskrat backs are now sold as 'rat' fur at almost as high figures as the dyed product.

The pressure of increasing demand has brought into common use the fur of animals with harsh, brittle hair of any colour, which is sold under names which inislead the unwary public. Thus, the pelts of animals from the warmer zones such as Chinese goat, Thibet lamb, Manchurian dog, hamster, marmot, Tartar pony, opossum, raccoon, weasel, jackal fox, monkey, antelope, otter and many others are now worked up by dressers and dyers into very respectable-looking furs. They are inferior, however, to the furs from colder climates in suppleness of leather, closeness of underwool, fullness of overhair and silkiness of hair and, because they are dyed, they are less durable and less prized.

The misnaming of furs has caused the London Chamber of Commerce to give notice that misleading terms are not to be used and that offenders are liable to prosecution under the Merchandise Marks Act, 1887. Even in the early days when misnaming was in its infancy, the manufactured furs were frequently misnamed as follows:

Muskrat or musquash, pulled and dyed	Seal
Nutria, pulled and dyed	Seal
Nutria, pulled and naturalBe	aver
Rabbit, sheared and dyed	Seal
Otter, pulled and dyed.	Seal
Marmot, dyedMink or s	sable
Fitch, dyed	able
Rabbit, dyed Sable or French s	able
Hare, dyedSable, fox or	lynx
Muskrat, dyed	
Wallaby, dyedSk	runk
White rabbit	mine
White rabbit, dyed	hilla
White hare, dyed or natural	aline
Goat, dyedBear or leo	pard

But, if laws were necessary twenty-five years ago to protect the public from frauds, what must be the necessity at the present time, when two hares reared by the same mother may pose on the same counter as 'white fox' and 'black lynx', respectively?

The following is a list of common misdescriptions: