

we thought it safe to have leave in case there was anything remaining which required clearing up.

THE LORD CHANCELLOR: Which are the questions you want answered? SIR ROBERT FINLAY: The first and second have been fully dealt with.

THE LORD CHANCELLOR: You want an answer to both those? SIR ROBERT FINLAY: Yes, my Lord.

THE LORD CHANCELLOR: So far as the Bonanza case raises them. SIR ROBERT FINLAY: Yes, my Lord. Then the third is:

"Has a corporation constituted by a Provincial Legislature with power to carry on a fire insurance business, there being no stated limitation as to the locality within which the business may be carried on, power or capacity to make and execute contracts—

"(a) within the incorporating province insuring property outside of the province;

"(b) outside of the incorporating province insuring property within the province;

"(c) Outside of the incorporating province insuring property outside of the province?"

"Has such a corporation power or capacity to insure property situate in a foreign country, or to make an insurance contract within a foreign country?"

"Do the answers to the foregoing inquiries, or any and which of them, depend upon whether or not the owner of the property or risk insured is a citizen or resident of the incorporating province?"

THE LORD CHANCELLOR: Does not the question turn upon two things. We have already discussed in the former case whether insurance is something special which is for Dominion treatment, and, secondly, what has been said to-day about the statute of a company? SIR ROBERT FINLAY: I think the various questions under head 3 will be really answered by what your Lordships say in the other two cases.

VISCOUNT HALDANE: That question again is a question that you want answered? SIR ROBERT FINLAY: Yes, my Lord.

VISCOUNT HALDANE: In one case or the other. SIR ROBERT FINLAY: Yes, my Lord. Then Question 4 is:

"If in any or all of the above-mentioned cases (a), (b), and (c), the answer be negative, would the corporation have throughout Canada the power or capacity mentioned in any and which of the said cases, on availing itself of the Insurance Act, 1910, 9 and 10 Edward VII., chapter 32, section 3, subsection 3?"

Your Lordships will recollect that that was discussed in the Insurance case, and I do not know that anything more will be wanted beyond what your Lordships may decide in that case. Then question 5:

"Can the powers of a company incorporated by a Provincial Legislature be enlarged, and to what extent, either as to locality or objects by

"(a) the Dominion Parliament?

"(b) the legislature of another province?"

That will be answered in the best form, which is in a concrete case, by what your Lordships say about the Bonanza Case. The question 6 is:

"Has the legislature of a province power to prohibit companies incorporated by the Parliament of Canada from carrying on business within the province unless or until the companies obtain a license so to do from the Government of the province, or other local authority constituted by the legislature, if fees are required to be paid upon the issue of such licenses?"

That question was raised in the John Deere Plow case.

VISCOUNT HALDANE: In the John Deere Plow case we said, according to my recollection, that we had this question before us and did not intend to answer any further until a concrete case arose and then we would decide it. The same thing applies to the next question. SIR ROBERT FINLAY: Yes, my Lord. My friend, Mr. Wegenast, said there had been some legislation in the provinces since the John Deere Plow case and he was very anxious to discuss it. It would be