hands. The suggestion of making two divisions of the Court of Appeal, composed of three judges each (borrowing a judge from the High Court), has properly enough, perhaps, been abandoned. Shortly stated, it is proposed, in the bill before us, to send to the Divisional Courts for final adjudication all appeals from judgments of trial judges or single judges where the amount in dispute (except in certain specified cases) is under \$1,000. Before next session it may very possibly be found that this scheme throws too much work upon the High Court judges, or has some other injurious effect, or it may perchance be urged that it does not give litigants, whose smaller sums are as much to them as larger ones are to others, the recourse they ought to have to a fully constituted appellate tribunal, presumably of more weight and authority than three judges of the High Court.

The Toronto Bar Association has expressed to the Attorney-General the opinion of its members, who had the matter under consideration, that it would be advisable to give the appellant the option to print or typewrite appeal books, and to provide that the costs, whether the books are printed or typewritten, should he costs on the appeal in the discretion of the Court, and to be paid by the respondent, if so ordered, whether or not he had consented to the books being printed instead of typewritten. It was also suggested that it would be a great saving of time and an improvement in the present procedure if provision were made authorizing the appellate courts to make rules limiting the time allotted to arguments and giving the right to either or both parties to put in a written argument if so advised.

Members of the profession should always be glad of every effort to advance its interested in any legitimate way; and for this reason we welcome the appearance of the Toronto Bar Association. It would seem to have within it the germ of usefulness, and we trust it may be carried on with energy and with due regard to its objects as set forth in the constitution. These are as follows: "To maintain the honour and dignity of the profession of the law; to elevate the standard of integrity, honour and courtesy in the profession; to cultivate the science of jurisprudence; to promote