	TABLE A		New Brunswick	406	44,848,746
SMALL BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT BOND PROGRAM As of January 15, 1982			Quebec Ontario Manitoba	1,716 4,724 771	239,694,003 679,647,834 124,477,274
Province	Elections Received and Processed	Amount Loaned	Saskatchewan Alberta British Columbia	1,224 1,788 2,467	189,340,341 311,755,063 405,978,976 769,000
		\$	N.W.T. Yukon	4	3,838,334
Newfoundland	215	24,785,567	N.W.T./Yukon	38	5,965,516
P.E.I. Nova Scotia	77 358	10,096,989 45,815,201		13,804	\$2,087,012,844

TABLE B
SMALL BUSINESS BOND PROGRAM
As of April 28, 1982

	Elections Received	Amount Loaned	Unincorp- orated Farmers	Incorp- orated Farmers	Other Businesses	
Province					Unincorp- orated	Incorp- orated
		\$				
Newfoundland	13	1,181,898				13
P.E.I.	34	3,787,020		4		30
Nova Scotia	79	8,925,816	7	2		70
New Brunswick	68	8,465,921		9		59
Quebec	49	8,469,094		3		46
Ontario	1,077	172,432,789	129	122	11	815
Manitoba	164	27, 197, 753	13	61		90
Saskatchewan	108	21,975,105	6	10		92
Alberta	480	94,004,389	5	101		374
British Columbia	354	80,090,874	25	54	6	269
	2,426	426,530,659	185	366	17	1,858

INTERPRETATION ACT BILLS OF EXCHANGE ACT CANADA LABOUR CODE

SECOND READING—ORDER STANDS

On the Order:

Resuming the debate on the motion of the Honourable Senator Olson, P.C., seconded by the Honourable Senator Frith, for the second reading of the Bill S-30, intituled: "An Act to amend certain Acts in relation to Canada Day".—(Honourable Senator Marshall).

Hon. Jack Marshall: Stand.

Hon. Royce Frith (Deputy Leader of the Government): Honourable senators, I am sure that it will come as no surprise that the government takes the position that Bill S-30 represents an irresistible and purely technical corollary to the passing of Bill C-201—

Hon. Jacques Flynn (Leader of the Opposition): That is not the view of His Honour the Speaker.

Senator Frith: —and the consequential amendments follow quite naturally from that. For that reason, and since the introduction of Bill S-30 was in fulfillment of an undertaking to introduce the consequential amendments—

Hon. Richard A. Donahoe: No, not in the Senate.

Senator Frith: —I should like honourable senators to realize and understand that the government will not support the position that this purely technical debate on the bill be continually adjourned. The government will ask that at some point—and I would respectfully suggest within the next two weeks—the matter be put to a vote. For that reason, I do not think honourable senators should assume that we will always accept adjournments of the debate.

Senator Flynn: On that point, since the Speaker's decision we have been trying to ascertain what the substantial differ-