precisely that. By stopping farmers and keeping people from going to work whose salary is largely paid for by farmers, what useful purpose does that serve?

I am told that in Winnipeg today it was not the people who work for the Canadian Grain Commission that were in front of the building picketing, it was largely people from other federal government operations in Winnipeg. A lot of people there prevented some of the grain commission people from going to work. I find this very unfortunate.

What I am suggesting is that if we want to practice what we preach and to help people keep selling into a market when they are suffering some pretty severe economic problems, I would suggest that no matter how much anyone who works for the Public Service is paid, it would have been much better for everybody to see that these people kept going to work and to take the Public Service Alliance people who are striking, as far as the grain commission, away from the picket lines and let the grain keep moving.

I simply want to point that out again to the opposition that there are ways to make your point as far as the strike is concerned without damaging people that are already being severely damaged by circumstances beyond their control.

• (2200)

Mr. Sid Parker (Kootenay East): Madam Speaker, I welcome the opportunity to participate. I want to say to the former speaker who just finished speaking that the minister should have mediated. If the government was so proud of the things that it has to offer the public servants, go to mediation and let an independent, impartial person listen to those kinds of disputes, not pit farmers against workers and workers against farmers and pensioners against pensioners. It tried to take away the farmers' help that is required. It divides and conquers and brings about that kind of confrontation. It is a matter of trust and no one trusts this government to bring about the kind of policies that are required.

It had to bring about this legislation and say that it wants to do away with the deficit because of it and the very people it is are going to use to try to implement those policies are the very workers that it wants to be able to bring about those changes. It is going to deny them the right to be able to deal with its problems.

Tomorrow, in front of these buildings we are going to see a demonstration. They are already starting to put up the barricades in front of this building and the government says Daryl Bean is to blame.

There were 20,000 protesters out here two weeks ago. Our House leader brought about some kind of conciliatory report to bring you two together, and the government failed. It failed the workers.

[*Translation*]

Madam Deputy Speaker: It being 10 p.m., pursuant to order made Tuesday, September 17, 1991, it is my duty to interrupt the proceedings and put forthwith every question necessary to dispose of Bill C–29 at third reading stage.

The question is on the motion by Mr. Loiselle. Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the said motion?

Some hon. members: Agreed.

Some hon. members: No.

Madam Deputy Speaker: All those in favour will please say yea.

Some hon. members: Yea.

Madam Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. members: Nay.

Madam Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members haven risen:

Madam Deputy Speaker: Call in the members.

The House divided on the motion, which was agreed to on the following division: