

great mistake, and I am shocked that the minister, who is by profession a lawyer, insists that this clause remain in the bill.

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Chairman, this clause reminds me of several which were in a bill sent over to the Senate a few weeks ago. The other place struck out this wording, and the government supinely accepted the Senate amendment. I hope this time the government will stand firm.

The Chairman: Shall clause 59 carry?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Clause 59 agreed to: Yeas, 28; nays, 15.

The Chairman: It being four o'clock it is my duty to rise, report progress, and request leave to consider the bill again at the next sitting of the House.

Progress reported.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Sharp: Mr. Speaker, before we move on to other business I should like to raise a point of order at this time in connection with Bill C-58, which is the bill concerning *Time and Reader's Digest*. The order for consideration of Bill C-58 provides that, in accordance with Standing Order 74(3), the bill should be referred after second reading to a committee of the whole.

As hon. members are aware, many persons and corporations have indicated their interest in making representations on this bill, and discussions through the usual channels have indicated that there is a general agreement that I should now ask for unanimous consent to propose:

That notwithstanding the provisions of Standing Order 74(3), when Bill C-58 is read a second time, it shall be referred to the Standing Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts.

● (1600)

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order, please. Hon. members have heard the suggestion and the request of the President of the Privy Council (Mr. Sharp). Is there unanimous consent to the proposal made by the hon. minister?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Carried.

Motion agreed to.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, before the government House leader escapes to draft more motions on Bill C-32 perhaps he could indicate what we will be doing on Monday.

Mr. Sharp: Mr. Speaker, it would be the desire of the Minister of Energy, Mines and Resources to continue with Bill C-32 on Monday. On Tuesday we will revert to the favourite of the House of Commons, Bill C-44.

Old Age Security Act

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I do not rise just for the purpose of dissenting from a comment made by the government House leader, because my position on Bill C-44 is well known. I should like to ask the government House leader what the business will be for the remainder of Monday if we finish Bill C-32 during the day.

Mr. Sharp: Mr. Speaker, in announcing the business for the forthcoming days yesterday afternoon I said I should like to call upon my colleague, the Secretary of State, to proceed with Bill C-20. I am not quite sure whether he will be well enough, but that would be my intention.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The House will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely public bills, notices of motions, and private bills.

PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS

[English]

OLD AGE SECURITY ACT

RESIDENCY REQUIREMENT AMENDMENT

On the order:

Second reading and reference to the Standing Committee on Health, Welfare and Social Affairs of Bill C-235, an act to amend the Old Age Security Act—Mr. Whittaker.

Miss Campbell: I rise on a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Perhaps it is a little premature and I should have allowed Your Honour to call the bill number before rising on a point of order.

Mr. Lefebvre: I think Your Honour will find that there has been discussion in the usual high places and there is agreement to discuss Bill C-235 today, which stands in the name of the hon. member for Okanagan Boundary (Mr. Whittaker).

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Am I to assume there is agreement to discuss the bill on which there is some disagreement otherwise?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is it agreed at least that we try to proceed with Bill C-235 standing in the name of the hon. member for Okanagan Boundary (Mr. Whittaker)?

Mr. Herbert: Mr. Speaker, I have risen on this matter before, voicing my objections to the procedure we are following on private members' business. On the order paper since October 15, 1974, is item No. 3, put on the order paper by the hon. member for Toronto-Lakeshore (Mr. Robinson), and dealing with loansharking. Item No. 4 was put on the order paper by the hon. member for Kenora-Rainy River (Mr. Reid), and it deals with the office of ombudsman. Item No. 10 dealing with the protection of