

Time Allocation Motion

NAYS

Messrs.

Alexander	Hees	McCain
Alkenbrack	Hogan	McCleave
Allard	Holmes	McGrath
Baker	Horner	McKenzie
(Grenville-Carleton)	Howie	McKinley
Baldwin	Huntington	Mitges
Beaudoin	Jarvis	Murta
Broadbent	Jelinek	Orlikow
Clark	Johnston	O'Sullivan
(Rocky Mountain)	Kemping	Paproski
Clarke	Knowles	Patterson
(Vancouver Quadra)	(Winnipeg	Peters
Dick	North Centre)	Ritchie
Diefenbaker	Lambert	Rondeau
Dionne	(Edmonton West)	Rynard
(Kamouraska)	Laprise	Saltsman
Douglas	La Salle	Schumacher
(Nanaimo-Cowichan-	Lawrence	Smith
The Islands)	Leggatt	(Churchill)
Elzinga	MacKay	Stanfield
Epp	MacLean	Symes
Fairweather	Macquarrie	Towers
Firth	Malone	Wagner
Friesen	Marshall	Whittaker
Gilbert	Matte	Wise
Halliday	Mazankowski	Yewchuk—68.

● (1730)

Mr. Speaker: I declare the motion carried. It being 50 minutes from the time arranged by special order to be the expiry of private members' hour, I assume the House will now proceed to private members' hour and will begin debate on the order of business we have been dealing with at eight o'clock this evening.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, may I put in a good word for that distinguished member of the House, the hon. member for Vegreville (Mr. Mazankowski), whose motion will be before the House in private members' hour dealing with an attempt by the government not to produce certain documents?

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Baldwin: In order that it be granted full time, I suggest it would be in order for the government to consider the continuation of the debate on this motion next Thursday so that the motion can remain at the top of the list.

Mr. Speaker: Is that understood and agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order with respect to the business of the House for tomorrow. A motion has been put down by this party, tomorrow being our allotted day, under Standing Order 58(9), which means that it will come to a vote. However, there have been discussions among the House leaders and it has been agreed that the vote, instead of being taken tomorrow evening at five o'clock, will be deferred until 9.45 p.m. on Monday. In other words, the debate will continue until five o'clock tomorrow and the vote taken as I have indicated.

[The Acting Speaker (Mr. Turner (London East)).]

Mr. Speaker: The house has heard the terms of that motion. Is it agreed.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: It is so ordered.

* * *

MESSAGE FROM THE SENATE

[Translation]

Mr. Speaker: I have the honour to inform the House that a message has been received from the Senate informing this House that the Senate have passed Bill S-30, an Act to incorporate Continental Bank of Canada, to which the concurrence of this House is desired.

[English]

I understand that we are able to dispense with the calling of all the motions on the order paper.

● (1740)

PRIVATE MEMBERS' MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

[English]

COPIES OF CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN SUNSET CREST LTD. AND EMPLOYEES OF AIR CANADA

Mr. Don Mazankowski (Vegreville) moved:

That an Order of the House do issue for copies of correspondence and agreements between Sunset Crest Ltd., now a subsidiary of the Barbados Shipping and Trading Co., and any employee of Air Canada or its subsidiaries.

He said: Mr. Speaker, at the outset I must say that it is of utmost significance that this motion should follow the debate which just took place this afternoon, the debate on closure, which I believe illustrates that the government not only wishes to muzzle members of parliament and deny them the right to speak in this House on important issues, but here we have a motion which clearly illustrates the refusal of the government to provide information on a very important issue, an issue which is very timely, and the House is entitled to be provided with this information.

The reply to motion No. 36 was given by the former parliamentary secretary to the former minister of transport. This reply is similar in nature to many replies we receive from time to time on motions of this nature. The former parliamentary secretary, the hon. member for Battleford-Kindersley (Mr. McIsaac), pointed out the following:

The requested documents are of a proprietary nature and Air Canada believes this information should not be made public for competitive reasons. I therefore ask the hon. member to withdraw his motion.

That is recorded at page 4650 of *Hansard* for April 9, 1975. That is the standard stock answer which is peddled by this government every time we attempt to elicit information on this most favoured, pampered pet of the government, namely, Air Canada.

Air Canada has become a corporate disgrace. The former parliamentary secretary at page 4650 indicated that information was withheld for competitive reasons. This answer