

trying to be as restrictive as one might by just looking at the rule book or the precedents.

To my mind, although the amendment before us at this time might not be drafted in the best way to show a clear and strong opposition to the general principle of the Bill, it is opposed to the passage of the Bill and does oppose the basic principles of taxation within the Bill. As I said earlier, I do not think it would be easy for the honourable Member who proposed the amendment, or any other honourable Member, to move a comparable amendment at a later stage in Committee of the Whole.

Although there might be some fault in respect of the wording of the amendment, in meeting the entire requirements noted and enumerated in May's Eighteenth Edition at pages 487 and 488, to my mind the purpose the honourable Member is trying to achieve is to move an amendment which attacks the substantive taxation parts of the Bill, and for these reasons I would have the tendency at this time to accept the amendment in its present form. So I will put the amendment to the House.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton), seconded by Mr. Sharp,—That Bill C-49, An Act to amend the statute law relating to income tax, be now read a second time and referred to a Committee of the Whole.

And on the motion of Mr. Lawrence, seconded by Mr. Baker (Grenville-Carleton), in amendment thereto,—That all the words after "That" be struck out and the following substituted therefor:

"this House declines to give second reading to Bill C-49, An Act to amend the statute law relating to income tax, because it fails to provide for a further 5% reduction in personal income tax in the 1975 and subsequent taxation years despite unprecedented government revenues and the resulting overtaxation by the Government."

After debate thereon, the debate was adjourned.

[At 5.00 o'clock p.m., Private Members' Business was called pursuant to Standing Order 15(4)]

[Notices of Motions (Papers)]

Mr. Marshall, seconded by Mr. McKinley, moved,—That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of all material resulting from the first meeting of the VLA senior management team convened by the Director-General of the Veterans' Land Administration in Ottawa from March 26 to 29, 1973 as follows (a) operational

plans in the periods before and after March 31, 1974 deadline for new loan applications (b) further implementation of MBO as the VLA style of management.—(Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 13).

And debate arising thereon;

The hour for Private Members' Business expired.

At 8.00 o'clock p.m. pursuant to Order made this day, the House reverted to "Motions".

Mr. Macdonald (Rosedale), a Member of the Queen's Privy Council, laid upon the Table,—Copies of (1) The Federal Government's In-House Energy Conservation Program, dated February 6, 1975. (English and French).—Sessional Paper No. 301-7/9.

(2) Energy Conservation Proposals. (English and French).—Sessional Paper No. 301-7/9A.

By unanimous consent, it was ordered,—That the documents be printed as an appendix to this day's *Hansard*.

(Proceedings on Adjournment Motion)

At 10.00 o'clock p.m., the question "That this House do now adjourn" was deemed to have been proposed pursuant to Standing Order 40(1);

After debate the question was deemed to have been adopted.

Changes in Committee Membership

Notice having been filed with the Clerk of the House pursuant to Standing Order 65(4)(b), membership of Committees was amended as follows:

Messrs. Cadieu, Turner (London East), Smith (Churchill), Mazankowski, Murta, Schellenberger, Fleming, MacKay and Reynolds for Messrs. Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich), Lee, Wenman, Friesen, Fraser, Balfour, Collette, Reynolds and Smith (Churchill) on the Standing Committee on Transport and Communications.

At 10.27 o'clock p.m., the House adjourned until tomorrow at 11.00 o'clock a.m., pursuant to Standing Order 2(1).