

*Resolved* (1) That prizes, scholarships, and medals should be abolished in all our educational institutions.

(2) That public money now devoted to this purpose should be used to increase the general efficiency of the Provincial University.

(3) That prize money now derived from private sources, supplemented by as much more as may be available, should be used (*a*) to establish a beneficiary fund for needy and worthy students, to be disbursed according to a plan similar to that in operation at Yale College, based on moral worth, present need, and reputable scholarship, and independently of competitive examinations; (*b*) any available surplus to be used to encourage original and post-graduate research.

(4) That instead of the present system of prizes, scholarships, and medals, honor students should be classified in such a way that the highest distinction in the university shall be attainable by all whose scholarship reaches a certain standard—say that of present gold medallists (or higher if necessary), sub-ordinate honors to be decided in a similar manner—the principle here involved to apply also to matriculation and ordinary sessional examinations.

(5) That a copy of these resolutions be sent to the authorities of each university in Ontario as the expressed opinion of this Association.

I am pleased to know that our students and graduates, like those of Toronto University, are practically unanimous in the desire to cut loose from this encumbrance. This being the case, our college authorities cannot long perpetuate a system whose only justification is a very doubtful mercenary advantage, and against which we have protests from those for whose benefit the system is supposed to exist."

These are the resolutions passed by that very important and influential association, and should have great weight in influencing college authorities to remedy the present existing evils in our prize system. And this association lays down a remedy substantially the same as that laid down in my first letter, and which I heartily endorse. Now I have once again to ask the readers of our JOURNAL, was it a *straw man* that I set up, does this important association say anything about prizes assisting *needy students*? If so, it can be no creation of my own fertile imagination, and therefore no straw man.

And I also ask you to notice that there was just *one* F. H. L. in all that Association, as there was only one dissenting voice.

Let me draw your attention to one other of F. H. L.'s criticisms. I charged medals, prizes, etc., as the means of hindering some of our students from doing mission work or of causing them to do it very imperfectly, etc., and pointed out the evils, "that they did themselves and the mission an injustice, also that they were treating the salvation of immortal souls as a thing of little moment or as something that might be attended to at any time, etc."