

CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL

PUBLISHED MONTHLY.

NEW SERIES
VOL. I, No. 11.

BRANTFORD, ONT., MAY, 1894.

WHOLE No.
351.

We have a letter from Captain Hetherington, which although not intended for an article, has in it so much

Captain Hetherington. of value that we take the liberty of publishing it elsewhere. Captain Hetherington has, we believe, over three thousand colonies of bees and can very rarely be induced to contribute anything to apicultural literature.

.

On page 240 *Gleanings in Bee Culture* is the report of four different chemists setting forth that honey received from Disgrace to James Heddon, Dowagiac, Bee-keepers Mich., was adulterated.

Prof. Wiley, chemist at Washington, D. C., says at least fifty per cent. was glucose. This with other evidence appears very conclusive. We understood Mr. Heddon went out of the bee business some years ago. We trust he has and that he will not be looked upon by the public as a bee-keeper. Mr. Heddon may claim by some species of erroneous reasoning that there is nothing wrong about such an act and ask "have you reached that stage where you can believe that those who differ from you are honest in their belief." In such a case we would say, whether the fraudulent design is the result of want of moral principle, or merely want of moral training, a guilty act nevertheless deserves the strongest condemnation. There may be certain forms of adulteration difficult to detect but when adulteration with glucose, all chemists claim such

adulteration can be detected without any doubt and by means of very simple appliances.

Later.—Mr. Heddon has replied to the above article, but his reply far from covers the ground. He appears to deny that he has adulterated honey for the last two years.

.

Brother York of the *American Bee Journal* says in the number for March 29th: "THE

CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL improves with each succeeding number. It is printed on an excellent quality of paper, and its contents are equally good. Bro. Holtermann is bound to make a success of his venture, and Canadian bee-keepers ought to turn in and support him heartily. Of course we would naturally advise every bee-keeper to first become a subscriber to the *American Bee Journal*; but to that 'means of grace,' we think Canadian apiarists should then add their own journal. The Canadian and American harmonize very nicely on the main objects to be attained unto in practical bee-culture.'

Brother Root in *Gleanings in Bee Culture*, March 15th says, "THE CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL is steadily improving. It has just put on a new and tasty cover. The paper and presswork are of the best, and the editorial management shows the handiwork of a practical bee-keeper. We gave this journal a good send-off in our previous issue, but it really seems to deserve another. The new series of the C. B. J. differs in one