

There is neither allegation in the Bill nor express proof, that all or any of these matters were ever made specific grounds of complaint to the Board of Education. It is evident however that the Board is fully aware of them, and has been always aware of them. If they remain unredressed I must assume they were not considered substantial grievances, or else there were good and sufficient reasons for the Board's inaction. If the Defendants were about to use their trust funds for illegal purposes, this Court would find no difficulty in restraining them; but where the causes of complaint arise from unwise administration or breaches of regulations involving no such misappropriation the Plaintiffs must look for redress to the Board of Education, under whose control and supervision the whole School system is worked.

ORDER.—That the Plaintiffs be at liberty to amend the Bill, by converting it into an information at the suit of the Attorney General, with the Plaintiffs as relators, provided a draft of such amendment signed by the Attorney General as consenting thereto be filed with the clerk, at any time before the minutes are settled, which is not to be done before the 1st April next. The information will then stand dismissed, with costs to be paid by the relators. Otherwise the Bill will stand dismissed with costs.