THE ATTORNEY-GENERAL thought that the Meeting was in general agreement with what Mr. Knowles had said. On the other hand, he had some sympathy with the view that while a formal joint report might be inappropriate, it would be of considerable advantage if individual advisers in reporting to their Delegates could have a statement setting out the various issues as clarified to date by the Committee. He himself proposed to submit to the United Kingdom Delegates a written note of the discussions, and it would be of great assistance to him if the advisers of the various Delegations would assist him by making any suggestions which occurred to them on his draft, copies of which he proposed to submit entirely informally to them for this purpose. He also proposed that copies of his note in its final form as submitted to the United Kingdom Delegates should be made available for any of the advisers who wished to have it. It would of course be clearly understood that it was open to the representative of any other Delegation to adopt the same procedure if he thought this desirable. His own document would be a purely informal document drawn up by him as Chairman of the Committee and representing his notes of the discussions. He alone would be responsible for the document and he did not suggest that the representatives of other Delegations should assume any responsibility whatever for it.

MR. READ concurred in the proposal of the Attorney-General and suggested that the representatives of other Delegations who wished to do so might annex to the Attorney-General's document notes of their own dealing with particular matters.

MR. KNOWLES observed that under his instructions he could neither agree or disagree with what was proposed, but when the Attorney-General's document was in final form

-3-

W. L. M. King Papers, Memoranda and Notes, 1933-1939 (M.G. 26, J 4, volume 177, pages C125668*C126368)

PUBLIC ARCHIVES ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES CANADA

. .