

to the future . . .

The elections are over and there is now a new SRC ready to assume office next month. No doubt there are some retiring members who are only too glad to resign their seats. Those who were successfully re-elected, have shown that they possess those qualities which are necessary for an efficient SRC. Those new members to the ranks of "wheel", however easy they think their job will be, will do well to attend this month's SRC meetings and see just exactly in what they have gotten themselves involved. They have not been elected simply for the honour of being able to say that they are on the SRC. It is not a "fun" organization.

We complain of the amount of time that is wasted amending amendments. We complain of the seeming childishness of some of the SRC's business. We do not agree to all of the SRC's plans and actions. But disagreement is not our right, but our duty. We on the Brunswickan, represent a newspaper, and being a university newspaper, it is our job to criticize.

One more thing that should be borne in mind during your forthcoming tenure. The Brunswickan, believe in the necessity of the SRC, for it is here that the parliaments of the future are trained. It is here that you must make your mistakes. If you, the rest of the student body, do not take this opportunity now, it will be an extremely dangerous road which you will follow after you graduate. We do not consciously attack you individuals, or the SRC, as something that must be destroyed. But if our criticism lacks constructiveness at times, this is our mistake, and is, in all probability, just one of the many which we must make before we are capable of criticizing affairs of more sway.

To the SRC elect, good luck, and may we be able to work together in the coming year, with a better campus, and a better Canada as our goal. R.G.C.

referees . . . basketball

Mr. Bill Ritchie deserves plaudits as one of the finest basketball referees on the courts in New Brunswick. His example of consistent calling, and of not being biased toward either team, should be observed closely by our other court officials. They will perhaps then learn to call the game on its merits, not on the reaction of the crowd.

Brunswickan



Established in 1867, The Brunswickan is published each Wednesday by and for the students of the University of New Brunswick at Fredericton, N.B. Opinions expressed are not necessarily those of the Students' Representative Council. Subscriptions are available to non-students at \$3.00 a year. Authorized as second class matter, Post Office Department, Ottawa, and paid for in cash.

OFFICE: Memorial Students' Centre

PHONE GRanite 5-5191

Ed Bell
Editor-in-Chief

Charles English
Business Manager

Jim Wallace
Managing Editor

STAFF

News Editor	Pete Roberts
Layout Editor	Katie FitzRandolph
Photo Editor	Jay Woolven
Proofs Editor	Pete Dunphy
Features Co-Editor	Barb Taylor
Features Co-Editor	Louise Morrow
Sports Editor	Russ Irvine
Layout	Rodger Wood
Women's Sports Editor	Mary McAfee
Cartoons	Bob Cooper
Front Cover	Paul Arseneault
Typists	Peggy Blair, Penny Estabrooks
Writers	Blake Ferris, Mike Douglas, Arnold Asker, Jamie Crocker, Pete Roberts, Ken Graham, Brian Ross, Harold MacNamara, Stevan Karon, Dave Whitworth, Tom Calkin, Sandy LeBlanc

From Our Readers

To the Editor:
Dear Sir:

It looks as though the majority of the students will have nothing to say about the construction of a Student Union Building.

Since the S.R.C. and the Building Committee seem so all-fired determined to build "some" building, let this building be an Apartment building for married students.

While we already have a Students' Centre and residences for unmarried students there are no accommodations on the campus for married students. This seems to me to be a black mark against a University of this size and apathy on the part of the university authorities. Perhaps the now vacant Maggie Jean could be renovated to this purpose or a new building constructed.

If there was an apartment building for married students, these students and their wives would have more time for campus activities. As it is now many married students go home on weekends and therefore miss the activities on the weekends.

I might point out that a rental charge to cover maintenance could be charged to the tenants. In this case there would be some income from the apartment building while none would be forthcoming from a Student Union Building.

Now you might say that an apartment building would be beneficial to only a few; this is true but look at the number of minority clubs on the Campus which the S.R.C. supports.

Although the construction of an apartment building for married students at this time would doubtfully benefit me, I would gladly support and contribute to its being, while I absolutely would not support the establishment of a Student Union Building unless I could be shown some damn good reasons why I should.

If the Building Committee approaches the S.R.C. for funds to build a Students Union Building, I suggest that the class Representatives secure the vote of their respective classes rather than make their own decisions on this matter.

Yours truly,
Marven Sellick,
4th year Civil

Why not have a general plebiscite?—ed.

Dear Sir:

Recently there has been a lot of argument for and against a Students' Union Building. The pros and cons were based on a new building on this campus. It seems that everyone has overlooked the existing facilities. I mean the Maggie Jean residence. That building or a group of buildings has enough space to house all the clubs and organizations on this campus, and the dining hall can be readily converted into an auditorium for dances and films.

One of the obvious objections to this plan would be the location of this residence outside the campus. But if we look at the Uni-

versity of Toronto where the various buildings are scattered for five or six blocks, I don't think we should holler too much if we are forced to cover only two blocks in ten minutes at a leisurely pace.

One thing is certain, that space urgently needed by various organizations is not available on this campus. For the past two years I have tried unsuccessfully to locate a closet for a club to store some of its equipment. It seems that every square foot on the campus is either taken or spoken for. Thus let us use what we have and have a Students' Union Building now, not five, ten or fifteen years hence. Perhaps with a bit of effort we may get a new library as well.

Peter Matrosow
Forestry, 63
Vice-President,
Para Jump Club

Maybe an aircraft hanger too, eh?—ed.

Dear Mr. Bell:

I object to the attitude taken by the writer of Terry Toons. He states categorically that Co-ed Week was a flop. How he can pass such a judgement when his column is written on Thursday at the latest, before the final and most important events of a week have taken place is beyond me. Could it be that Mr. Toons is suffering from that well known disease - Sour Grapes.

The Campus King contest was very successful, bringing in more than one hundred dollars to the Scholarship fund. The Apache Dance was very well attended, and the gate receipts show that many co-eds did not take, take, take—without giving. Mr. Toons evaluation of Co-ed Week seems to have been preconceived, and biased. It would not hurt were he to wait until something is over before he passes his final edict.

Sincerely yours,
Fitz

How do you know it's Mr. Toons?—ed.

Yours truly,
Marven Sellick,
4th year Civil

Why not have a general plebiscite?—ed.

Dear Sir:

Recently there has been a lot of argument for and against a Students' Union Building. The pros and cons were based on a new building on this campus. It seems that everyone has overlooked the existing facilities. I mean the Maggie Jean residence. That building or a group of buildings has enough space to house all the clubs and organizations on this campus, and the dining hall can be readily converted into an auditorium for dances and films.

One of the obvious objections to this plan would be the location of this residence outside the campus. But if we look at the Uni-

Winter Carnival 1963—Discipline!!

Again we see the results of one year's planning—another Winter Carnival, bigger and better than ever!

I would like to extend my congratulations to the Carnival Committee and to those who have done so much work toward making it more enjoyable this year. Also, to the students and interested citizens who work behind the scene, we owe our sincere thanks.

The Carnival has been created by the students, for the students. It is our show, its success or failure is in our hands. Because this is a show-case of UNB, let us support it fully.

We can aid the Carnival's continued headway by preventing student disciplinary problems! The Carnival organizations cannot do this themselves, it is up to us, the students. Surely we owe them that much!

Have fun at the Carnival.

Sincerely
Tom Calkin

The S.D.C. hopes that this year's winter carnival will be an enjoyable one, unmarred by disciplinary cases.

We would like to remind the students that as in the past there will be strict conduct supervision at all events, the S.D.C. members, the campus police force and the winter carnival committee have the authority to remove student passes and charge any students who conduct themselves in an unbecoming manner. The winter carnival committee would also like to stress that the removal of campus decorations will result in charges being laid.

We do not wish to quell Winter Carnival spirit but then again we must realize that certain standards of conduct must be maintained.

The S.D.C. hopes that all students will co-operate to make this Carnival one which will necessitate no disciplinary action.

Best wishes for a fun filled Carnival.

Sandy LeBlanc
Chairman
S.D.C.

CAMPUS CALENDAR

Wed., Feb. 6—SRC in the Tartan Room at 6:00 p.m.—(tonight)—Parajump Club, 106 Forestry Building at 7:30 p.m.

Friday, Feb. 8—Chapel in Douglas Hall, 1:00-1:20 p.m. UCC at Cathedral Hall from 6:00-8:00 p.m.

Monday, Feb. 11—SRC in the Tartan Room at 6:30 p.m. Para-Rescue Club at the Armories at 7:15 p.m.

Tuesday, Feb. 12—Bridge Club in the Oak Room from 7:00-11:00 p.m. Chapel in Douglas Hall from 1:00-1:20 p.m.

Halifax's gain appears to be our loss—ed.