where they some condemed, and others praised: we had a kind ly unwise. The observed. The t Canada, have test part of these Protestant clergy were not of the re might be herch of England; they were chiefly what are called honour, the retestant dissenters in this country. They were, therealry which lands in the province. Was this the proportion, eicountry. her in Scotland or in any other country where those relilly unnecessales principles were professed? It was not the proportion worse the either in Scotland, or in any other ecclesiastical counlitary, he show in Europe. We were therefore, by this bill, making to the power att of provision for the Protestant clergy of Canada, l so constituted was unknown to them in every part of Europe; a as the governovision, in his apprehension, which would rather tend ine of the kin orrupt than to benefit them. The regulations were vision which wise in part obscure; because, after it had stated that the Protest seventh of the land should always be set aside for only the clemprotestant clergy, it did not state how it should be criptions of Ppplied. The bill was likewise exceptionable, as far as ne clause wil related to the regulation of appeals. Suitors, were, in I make grants first instance, to carry their complaints before the all be approports of common law in Canada: if dissatisfied with the two objects sions of those courts, they might appeal to the govern-opinion of grand council: if dissatisfied with their judgment, they that country at then appeal to the king in council; and next to nts were of House of Lords. Now, if the House of Lords was rants was to tter court, which he believed it to be, than the king ough they missioncil, why compel them to appeal to the king in counprogations to before they could come to the House of Lords? of this cour not apply to the House of Lords at once? This ore than one and answer no possible purpose, but to render lawsuits e no clergy recedingly expensive, and exceedingly vexatious. Those the clergy should be principal objections he had to this bill. There the clergy should be a word said in explanation of it, with confess appearance of clauses and regulations. It went through all of the Charles and I without one observation; it also went to the measure of the Committee only in form, but not in substance. It have as much the prints of the bill, that which struck him the ntry we had the forcibly was, the division of the province of Cana-