

The government is not aware of the source or sources of the funds used by these organizations for the demonstration.

- (b) No foreign powers were known to be involved in the demonstrations.
- (c) The Communist Party of Canada was not known to be present during the demonstration. This organization is not identical to the other Communist parties which were represented.

2. It is impossible for the commission to establish the number of people who may have entered Canada from Ogdensburg, New York for the purpose of participating in the demonstrations on Parliament Hill during the visit of the President of the United States.

3. The government is not aware how the persons demonstrating found the time to do so.

PORT FACILITIES AND THE CAST GROUP

Question No. 2,325—Mr. Crosby:

1. Did provincial governments make direct capital investments in port facilities operated by the National Harbours Board and, if so, what was the amount in each case?

2. Did the province of Nova Scotia invest in the Fairview Code container terminal at Halifax and, if so (a) in what amount (b) is the government obligated to or does it intend to pay back to the province the money invested and, if not, for what reason?

3. Was the Canadian Transport Commission advised of the intention of Canadian National Railways to invest first \$7 million and then \$42 million in the Cast Group after the inquiry held prior to 1979 and, if not, for what reason in each case?

Mr. Robert Bockstael (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport):

1. Yes.

Port of Saint John \$25 million.

Port of Halifax \$6.5 million.

2. Yes.

(a) \$6.5 million.

(b) No, this amount will not be repaid as the amount was a grant by the province of Nova Scotia intended to improve the viability of the over-all project. The major portion of the cost was borne by the National Harbours Board with the terminal operator being responsible for the provision of container crane and equipment.

3. In July, 1980, CN invested \$7 million in non-voting preference shares of Eurocanadian Shipholdings Ltd. In October, 1980, a second investment of \$42.6 million was also made in non-voting preference shares of Eurocanadian. The non-voting status of these shares means that there was no change to CN's equity position within the Cast group. Since the requirement on CN to advise the CTC about share purchases relates only to those cases where CN's equity position is being altered, there was no reason to notify the commission of these two purchases of non-voting shares.

Order Paper Questions

FEATHERBED FILE

Question No. 2,361—Mr. Cossitt:

With reference to the answer to question No. 23 which states in part that it is not in the public interest to provide additional information on the Featherbed file, what are the (a) names and job designations of all persons who made such a decision (b) criteria used by the government to measure whether or not something is or is not in the public interest?

Hon. Bob Kaplan (Solicitor General): (a) and (b) This is clearly a matter of judgment by myself as it is my responsibility, as Solicitor General of Canada, to answer any question or notice of motion for the production of papers concerning my ministry.

CODE OF CONDUCT

Question No. 2,365—Mr. Foster:

1. (a) What number of Canadian companies operated in South Africa in 1979 and in 1980 and, for each year, what were their names (b) what number are operating in 1981 and what are their names?

2. What number issued "public reports" in (a) 1979 (b) 1980 (c) 1981 concerning their compliance with the government's code of conduct and, for each year, which companies did so?

3. What number issued "public reports in sufficient detail to permit assessment of their progress in realizing the objectives of the code of conduct" in (a) 1979 (b) 1980 (c) 1981 and, for each year, which companies did so?

4. What number met "the objectives of the code of conduct" in (a) 1979 (b) 1980 (c) 1981 and, for each year, which companies did so?

5. In what number of companies did the minimum wage of black employees exceed "the minimum level required to meet their basic needs . . . by at least 50 per cent", in (a) 1979 (b) 1980 (c) 1981 and, for each year, which companies did so?

6. Did the government take, or does it propose to take, action to ensure that Canadian companies operating in South Africa realize "the objectives of the code of conduct" and, if so, what is such action?

7. Is the Canadian Embassy in South Africa responsible for following developments closely and for reporting on the extent of compliance of the companies with the Code?

8. Does the government propose to take action to strengthen the provisions with respect to implementation and publicity in the Code or at least to bring the provisions into line with codes of conduct adopted for other western companies operating in South Africa and, if so, what is such action?

Hon. Mark MacGuigan (Secretary of State for External Affairs): 1 and 2. The Department of External Affairs does not maintain regular and comprehensive records of Canadian companies operating in South Africa. The code of conduct was sent to the companies listed below, a number of which may not have had operations in South Africa in 1979, 1980 or 1981 and one company has indicated that it is not a Canadian company.

1. Albany Canada Ltd.
2. Alcan Ltd.
3. Arndt-Palmer Laboratories Ltd.
4. Barringer Research Ltd.
5. Bata Ltd.
6. Bayer Foreign Investments Ltd.
7. Boyles Ltd. (Dresser Industries Ltd.)
8. Canada Wire and Cable Ltd.