

*Summer Recess*

the province of Quebec to pass certain sections of that act? Why has he not considered disallowance of such an act? We know why. The obvious answer is a political answer. The answer is politics and votes, which mean more to this government than the supposed protection of the minority rights of any group in this country, whether they be French, English or some other ethnic minority.

Recently the Minister of Supply and Services (Mr. Goyer) spoke across the river in Hull at the opening of a new building. As someone pointed out earlier, they are moving all the departments over there. In any event, he said that when public servants are over there, they should always be sure and speak French. He was telling English Canadians who work in Hull they should not use their own language when they are over there. We do not say to people over there that when they come here they cannot use their language. That would be utterly ridiculous, abominable and a disgrace. Likewise, the words of the Minister of Supply and Services were reprehensible, disgraceful and disgusting. He sounded more like René Lévesque than a federal member of parliament. He completely illustrated the farce of this government's bilingual program.

When we are told to co-operate, to bend over for the unity of this country, that is what we must do. However, how do the government expect to get an enthusiastic response from the rest of Canada to these sorts of statements when they do not stand up to a government which seeks to separate this country, the government of one particular province which thumbs its nose at the Government of Canada and the Canadian people and everyone who does not speak one particular language? I say that bilingualism "Trudeau style" has become nothing more than two-faced idiocy.

Personally, I am sick and tired of the anti-British and anti-American attitude of this government. Britain gave us our House of Commons. We are modelled on the British parliamentary system.

I found it a bit sickening to listen to the Minister of State for Urban Affairs (Mr. Ouellet) a while ago saying some things about the British parliamentary tradition. After all, the Prime Minister certainly does not indicate very often that he has very much belief in it. However, when things looked rough in 1972, he rushed over to have tea with the Queen. That was because he almost lost an election, and it looked good to sit on her coat-tails in order to save his own political hide. He has always found time for disrespect for British institutions. He found time to slide down a bannister some years ago. More recently he did a little pirouette behind the Queen, that disrespectful little dance that we saw in all the papers.

This government and the Prime Minister are the greatest manipulators of the century. They are the Houdinis of all Houdinis. When it comes to being a Houdini, they attempt to intimidate and manage the press. The Prime Minister managed to grab one of the press members by the coat or the neck a few months ago and started to shake him. He does not want to have much to do with the press until he needs them. When things look a bit shaky for him, they are called in for drinks and interviews. Everything is on the House. He says to

himself that he needs them and their publicity for election purposes.

I spoke of anti-Americanism. In this regard I wish to refer to a book which I think is well worth reading for all Canadians, "Trudeau Revealed" by David Sommerville. In particular, I refer to page 208. It contains a statement which I recall being made at the time. It was well publicized, although perhaps not well enough. I think it bears repetition now. This is what he said when speaking in the Soviet Union:

We have a great deal to learn from the Soviet Union, a country from which we have a great deal to benefit.

He went on to refer to the United States of America, which purchases more of our goods than any country in the world, which means more to us economically than any country in the world. He said that we should be friendly with the Soviet Union—and this is an exact quote:

—to protect our identity from the cultural, economic and perhaps even military point of view.

Is he trying to insinuate to this country that the Americans are coming over the border with military forces? That is absolutely ridiculous. There were also the words in 1977 of the famous Russian dissident, Vladimir Bukovsky:

I was in prison when Mr. Trudeau arrived in Moscow. He declared that we would like to have an exchange of experience, to use Soviet experience of development in northern territories. It was awful for us, for everybody in the Soviet Union. Everybody knew quite well how many millions of prisoners perished when developing those territories. It was awful.

When he was in London, a student asked him: "What society would you choose to make Canada, socialist or capitalist?" He replied—and this appeared at the time in the British press—"Labour party socialism or Cuban socialism or Chinese socialism, socialism from each according to his means." That is the man who talks about maintaining—supposedly—the British parliamentary system. He talks about maintaining the rights of parliament.

I find it very hard to follow when men like the Prime Minister say things like that. It illustrates the complete hypocrisy of this government when it comes to the statements they make about Russian dissidents and the problems from which they suffer. There was the famous "Viva Castro" statement. I suggest to this government it is about time someone on the other side of the House said "Viva Canada" and did something about it.

Recently the Prime Minister and the government introduced a proposed new constitution for Canada. Just the other day some person in the gallery—I do not know who it was, but that is irrelevant—held one of these booklets aloft and yelled "This is a lot of bunk".

● (1552)

Truer words were never spoken even though they may have been uttered from a place from which no one is supposed to speak. Mr. Speaker, in many ways it is nothing but a load of bunk. It might be called a horrendous monster, an election red herring which the Prime Minister feels he can use to benefit his campaign—everyone will forget about inflation and the